OARJ **OPEN ACCESS RESEARCH JOURNALS**

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Integrating agile methodologies into data governance: Achieving flexibility and control simultaneously

Naomi Chukwurah [1, *], Adebimpe Bolatito Ige [2], Courage Idemudia [3] and Osemeike Gloria Eyieyien [4]

[1] University of Denver, Colorado, USA.
[2] Information Security Advisor, Corporate Security, City of Calgary, Canada.
[3] Independent Researcher, London, ON, Canada.
[4] FDM, UK.

Abstract

This review paper explores integrating agile methodologies into data governance to achieve flexibility and control. Agile methodologies, known for their iterative development, collaboration, and responsiveness to change, can enhance the adaptability of data governance frameworks. This paper analyzes the alignment of agile principles with data governance, discusses strategies for integration, such as adaptive data governance and incremental implementation, and examines how to balance flexibility with the necessary controls for effective data governance. Key practices, challenges, and potential solutions focus on fostering a collaborative culture and leveraging appropriate tools and technologies. The paper also suggests future research directions, emphasizing the role of emerging technologies and evolving regulatory requirements. Ultimately, this integration enhances decision-making, operational efficiency, and organizational competitive advantage.

Keywords: Agile Methodologies; Data Governance; Collaborative Governance; Adaptive Data Management

1. Introduction

Organizations continually seek methods to enhance efficiency, adaptability, and overall performance in today's fast-paced and ever-evolving business environment. Two critical approaches that have emerged to address these needs are agile methodologies and data governance. Agile methodologies, originating from software development, emphasize iterative progress, collaboration, and responsiveness to change (Abrahamsson, Salo, Ronkainen, & Warsta, 2017; Al-Saqqa, Sawalha, & AbdelNabi, 2020). They prioritize customer satisfaction by continuously delivering valuable software, fostering an environment where teams can rapidly adapt to evolving requirements. On the other hand, data governance refers to the comprehensive management of data availability, usability, integrity, and security within an organization. It involves establishing policies, procedures, and standards to ensure data quality and compliance with regulatory requirements. While both agile methodologies and data governance are essential in their respective domains, they are often perceived as incompatible due to their fundamentally different focuses—agile on flexibility and rapid adaptation and data governance on control and standardization (Adelakun, Nembe, Oguejiofor, Akpuokwe, & Bakare, 2024; Adenekan, Solomon, Simpa, & Obasi, 2024; Ladley, 2019; Munappy, 2021).

The perceived incompatibility between agile methodologies and data governance stems from their opposing principles. Agile methodologies thrive on flexibility, encouraging quick adjustments and iterative improvements. This dynamic nature is seen as conflicting with the stringent and structured nature of data governance, which requires rigorous controls, adherence to standards, and a strong emphasis on data quality and security. The challenge lies in integrating these two approaches in a way that leverages the strengths without compromising either's core principles (Ashmore & Runyan, 2014; Moran, 2015). Organizations face difficulties in maintaining the balance between the need for speed and

adaptability in their agile practices and the necessity for control and compliance in data governance. This tension often leads to conflicts, inefficiencies, and a perceived inability to integrate agile methodologies into data governance frameworks effectively (Ramlaoui, Semma, & Dachry, 2015).

This paper aims to explore how agile methodologies can be effectively integrated into data governance to achieve flexibility and control. By examining the core principles of both approaches, identifying their points of alignment and divergence, and proposing strategies for their integration, this paper aims to demonstrate that it is possible to harmonize agile and data governance practices. This integration can lead to a more adaptive and responsive approach to data management, ultimately enhancing organizational agility and data quality. The paper will address key questions: How can agile practices be adapted to support data governance objectives? What strategies can be employed to align the flexible nature of agile with the structured requirements of data governance? How can organizations balance the need for control with adaptability in their data management practices?

The scope of this paper includes a comprehensive analysis of the principles and practices of agile methodologies and data governance. It will cover the fundamental concepts of both approaches, including the core principles and practices of agile methodologies, such as iterative development, collaboration, and responsiveness to change, as well as the key components of data governance, like data quality, data management, policies, and stewardship. The paper will delve into the challenges of integrating these approaches, including the alignment of their principles and the balance between flexibility and control. It will propose strategies for successful integration, highlighting tools and technologies that can facilitate this process. Additionally, the paper will outline best practices for achieving flexibility and control in data governance through applying agile methodologies.

2. Agile Methodologies: Principles and Practices

Agile methodologies represent a paradigm shift in how software development and project management are approached, emphasizing flexibility, collaboration, and customer-centricity (Binci, Cerruti, Masili, & Paternoster, 2023; Tetteh, 2024). Originating from the Agile Manifesto, published in 2001, these methodologies have been widely adopted across various industries beyond software development, including marketing, finance, and healthcare (Rodríguez et al., 2019; Wang, Conboy, & Cawley, 2012). Agile methodologies such as Scrum, Kanban, Extreme Programming (XP), and Lean are designed to improve efficiency, enhance team collaboration, and deliver value continuously. Each methodology has unique practices and principles but shares the goal of fostering an adaptive and responsive work environment (Arora et al., 2021; Stellman & Greene, 2014).

Scrum is one of the most popular agile methodologies, characterized by its structured yet flexible approach to project management. It divides work into iterative cycles called sprints, typically lasting two to four weeks, where a cross-functional team works on a prioritized list of tasks known as the product backlog. Scrum involves specific roles, such as the Scrum Master, who facilitates the process, and the Product Owner, who represents the stakeholders and prioritizes the backlog. Daily stand-up meetings, sprint planning sessions, and sprint reviews are integral practices that ensure continuous improvement and alignment with project goals (Atadoga et al., 2024; Azanha, Argoud, Camargo Junior, & Antoniolli, 2017; Daramola, Adewumi, Jacks, & Ajala, 2024a, 2024b).

Kanban, another widely used agile methodology, visualizes work, limits work in progress (WIP), and enhances flow efficiency (Raju & Krishnegowda, 2013). It uses a Kanban board, divided into columns representing different workflow stages, to visualize tasks and their progress. By limiting the number of tasks in each stage, Kanban helps teams manage capacity and avoid bottlenecks, promoting a smooth and efficient workflow. Unlike Scrum, Kanban does not prescribe fixed iterations, allowing for a more continuous and flexible approach to task management (Alaidaros, Omar, & Romli, 2018; Damij & Damij, 2021).

Extreme Programming (XP) emphasizes technical excellence and customer satisfaction through pair programming, test-driven development (TDD), and continuous integration (Kunwar, 2013). XP advocates for frequent releases in short development cycles, which improves productivity and introduces checkpoints where new customer requirements can be adopted. Lean, derived from lean manufacturing principles, focuses on eliminating waste, optimizing processes, and delivering maximum value to the customer with minimal resources. Lean practices emphasize continuous improvement (Kaizen), just-in-time production, and respecting the team's autonomy (Alvarado, Calixto, & Caicedo, 2022; Daramola, Jacks, Ajala, & Akinoso, 2024a; Ikegwu).

At the core of agile methodologies are several key principles that drive their effectiveness. Iterative development is a cornerstone, where projects are divided into small, manageable units of work that can be completed in short cycles, typically one to four weeks. This allows teams to deliver incremental value to customers, gather feedback, and make

necessary adjustments before proceeding. Collaboration is another fundamental principle emphasizing close communication and cooperation among team members, stakeholders, and customers. Agile teams often work in cross-functional groups, breaking down silos and fostering a culture of collective ownership and responsibility (Daramola, Jacks, et al., 2024a; Spiegler, Heinecke, & Wagner, 2021).

Responsiveness to change is also a critical agile principle, recognizing that customer needs and market conditions can evolve rapidly. Agile methodologies encourage embracing change, even late in development, to ensure the final product meets current requirements and delivers maximum value. This principle contrasts sharply with traditional project management approaches, which often resist changes once a plan is in place. Agile's focus on customer involvement and feedback loops ensures that the product continuously aligns with user expectations and market demands (Daramola, Jacks, Ajala, & Akinoso, 2024b; Schön, Thomaschewski, & Escalona, 2017).

Several key practices are associated with agile methodologies, enhancing their effectiveness and ensuring adherence to core principles. Central to Scrum, Sprints are time-boxed iterations where specific tasks from the product backlog are completed. At the end of each sprint, teams conduct sprint reviews to demonstrate their work to stakeholders and sprint retrospectives to reflect on the process and identify areas for improvement (Abrahamsson et al., 2017; Asproni, 2006; O. Joel & V. Oguanobi, 2024; O. T. Joel & V. U. Oguanobi, 2024c, 2024e). These practices create a continuous delivery and improvement rhythm, keeping teams focused and aligned with project goals. Stand-up meetings, or daily scrums, are brief, time-boxed meetings where team members discuss what they accomplished the previous day, what they plan to work on next, and any impediments they face. These meetings foster transparency, quick problem-solving, and alignment among team members. Retrospectives, conducted at the end of each sprint or iteration, allow teams to reflect on their processes, celebrate successes, and identify areas for improvement. This continuous feedback loop drives incremental improvements and maintains high performance (Karttunen, 2018; Loeffler, 2017).

The benefits of agile methodologies extend beyond software development, positively impacting various organizational functions and industries. In software development, agile promotes faster delivery of high-quality products, better alignment with customer needs, and increased team productivity and morale. By breaking down large projects into manageable increments, agile reduces risk and provides regular checkpoints to reassess and realign project goals. This iterative approach ensures that teams can adapt to changes quickly and deliver a product that meets customer expectations (Abrahamsson et al., 2017; O. T. Joel & V. U. Oguanobi, 2024a, 2024b, 2024d).

In other areas, such as marketing, finance, and healthcare, agile methodologies enhance team collaboration, improve project visibility, and foster a culture of continuous improvement. Marketing teams use agile to respond rapidly to market trends and customer feedback, allowing for more effective and targeted campaigns (Kolasani, 2023; López-Alcarria, Olivares-Vicente, & Poza-Vilches, 2019). Agile principles help manage complex projects and regulatory requirements more effectively in finance. Healthcare organizations adopt agile to improve patient care processes, streamline operations, and enhance service delivery. Agile methodologies also contribute to a positive organizational culture by empowering teams, promoting transparency, and fostering a sense of ownership and accountability (Abrahamsson et al., 2017; Nembe et al., 2024; Obasi, Solomon, Adenekan, & Simpa, 2024; Oduro, Uzougbo, & Ugwu, 2024; Patrucco, Canterino, & Minelgaite, 2022). The emphasis on collaboration and communication breaks down silos and encourages a more cohesive and engaged workforce. Agile's focus on delivering value continuously and adapting to change helps organizations remain competitive and responsive in dynamic markets (Oduro et al., 2024; Oguanobi & Joel, 2024; Pixton, Gibson, & Nickolaisen, 2014).

3. Data Governance: Frameworks and Challenges

Data governance is a critical organizational function encompassing the processes, policies, standards, and metrics necessary to ensure data assets' effective and secure management. It involves formalizing accountability for data management across an organization, ensuring data is accurate, accessible, consistent, and protected. The importance of data governance cannot be overstated, as data is increasingly recognized as a strategic asset that drives decision-making, operational efficiency, and competitive advantage. Effective data governance ensures data meets regulatory requirements, supports business objectives, and is used responsibly (Onwuka & Adu, 2024b, 2024d).

Several frameworks guide the implementation and practice of data governance, each providing a structured approach to managing data. The Data Management Body of Knowledge (DAMA-DMBOK) is one such framework. It offers comprehensive guidelines on the various aspects of data management, including data governance, architecture, quality, and security (Karkošková, 2023). DAMA-DMBOK emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to data management, integrating all aspects to support effective data governance. Another widely used framework is COBIT (Control Objectives for Information and Related Technologies), which provides a governance model for enterprise IT. COBIT

focuses on aligning IT with business goals, ensuring regulatory compliance, and managing risks, making it a valuable tool for data governance (Aguboshim, Obiokafor, & Emenike, 2023; Cupoli, Earley, & Henderson, 2014).

The core components of data governance encompass a range of activities and responsibilities designed to ensure data integrity and usability. Data quality is fundamental, involving processes to ensure that data is accurate, complete, and reliable (Olson, 2003; Onwuka & Adu, 2024a, 2024c, 2024e). High-quality data is essential for informed decision-making and operational efficiency. Data management, another core component, involves systematically organizing and maintaining data assets. This includes data modeling, storage, and retrieval, ensuring data is easily accessible and usable. Data policies are critical for establishing the rules and guidelines for data management and usage within an organization. These policies cover data privacy, security, and compliance, ensuring that data is handled according to legal and regulatory requirements. Data stewardship is managing data assets through formalized roles and responsibilities (Brous, Janssen, & Vilminko-Heikkinen, 2016). Data stewards are responsible for maintaining data quality, enforcing policies, and ensuring data is used appropriately across the organization. These components create a robust framework for managing data effectively and securely (Abrahamsson et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2016; Simpa, Solomon, Adenekan, & Obasi, 2024b, 2024e).

Despite the clear benefits and structured frameworks available, implementing and maintaining data governance poses significant challenges. Ensuring compliance with an ever-evolving landscape of regulations, such as GDPR, HIPAA, and CCPA, is a major challenge for organizations. Compliance requires a thorough understanding of regulatory requirements and implementing processes and controls to meet these standards. This can be resource-intensive and complex, particularly for organizations with large volumes of data and multiple data sources (Milson & Demir, 2023; Simpa, Solomon, Adenekan, & Obasi, 2024a, 2024c).

Data security is another critical challenge in data governance. Protecting sensitive data from breaches and unauthorized access is paramount, especially given the increasing frequency and sophistication of cyber-attacks. Organizations must implement robust security measures to safeguard their data, including encryption, access controls, and monitoring. However, balancing data accessibility and security can be difficult, as overly restrictive security measures can hinder data usability and business agility (Viljoen, 2021). Balancing control with flexibility is a fundamental challenge in data governance. Organizations must implement controls to ensure data quality and compliance without stifling innovation and agility. This requires a nuanced approach that allows for flexibility in data usage while maintaining the necessary oversight and control. Achieving this balance can be challenging, particularly in dynamic and fast-paced business environments where the ability to quickly adapt to changing conditions is crucial (Janssen, Brous, Estevez, Barbosa, & Janowski, 2020; Simpa, Solomon, Adenekan, & Obasi, 2024f; Solomon, Simpa, Adenekan, & Obasi, 2024a, 2024b).

Another challenge is the integration of data governance into the organizational culture. Effective data governance requires buy-in and participation from all levels of the organization, from top management to frontline employees. This necessitates ongoing education and training to build awareness and understanding of data governance principles and practices. Moreover, fostering a culture of accountability and responsibility for data management is essential for the success of data governance initiatives (Benfeldt, Persson, & Madsen, 2020; Uzougbo, Ikegwu, & Adewusi, 2024e).

Data governance also involves significant organizational change, which can be met with resistance. Implementing data governance often requires changes to existing processes, roles, and responsibilities, which can be disruptive and met with resistance from employees. Overcoming this resistance requires strong leadership, clear communication, and a compelling case for the benefits of data governance. It is essential to engage stakeholders early in the process and involve them in designing and implementing data governance initiatives to gain their support and commitment (Ahmad et al., 2022; Popoola, Adama, Okeke, & Akinoso, 2024; Uzougbo, Ikegwu, & Adewusi, 2024b).

In conclusion, data governance is essential for organizations seeking to manage their data assets effectively and securely. Frameworks such as DAMA-DMBOK and COBIT provide structured approaches to implementing data governance, encompassing core components such as data quality, management, policies, and stewardship. However, organizations face significant challenges in ensuring compliance, securing data, balancing control with flexibility, integrating data governance into the organizational culture, and managing the associated change. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive and strategic approach, supported by strong leadership, clear communication, and ongoing education and training. By overcoming these challenges, organizations can harness the full potential of their data assets, driving informed decision-making, operational efficiency, and competitive advantage.

4. Integrating Agile Methodologies with Data Governance

The integration of agile methodologies with data governance represents a compelling opportunity to enhance organizational agility while maintaining robust data management practices. At first glance, agile methodologies and data governance may seem at odds, given their differing emphases: agile on flexibility, rapid iteration, and continuous improvement; data governance on control, standardization, and regulatory compliance. However, a closer analysis reveals areas of alignment between these two approaches, suggesting that a harmonious integration is possible and beneficial.

4.1. Alignment of Principles

The principles of agile methodologies, such as iterative development, collaboration, and responsiveness to change, can be aligned with data governance principles in several ways (Kolasani, 2023). Iterative development, a cornerstone of agile, involves breaking down projects into smaller, manageable increments, allowing continuous delivery and feedback. This approach can benefit data governance, often involving complex policies and procedures. Organizations can implement data governance practices incrementally by adopting an iterative approach, allowing for regular reviews and adjustments based on feedback and evolving requirements (Seitsamo-Räsänen, 2021; Uzougbo, Ikegwu, & Adewusi, 2024d).

Collaboration, another fundamental agile principle, emphasizes close communication and teamwork. In the context of data governance, collaboration can foster a culture of shared responsibility for data management. Agile methodologies encourage cross-functional teams, breaking down silos and promoting cooperation among various stakeholders. This aligns with data governance, which requires input and oversight from multiple departments, including IT, legal, and business units. By fostering a collaborative environment, organizations can ensure that data governance policies are well-informed, practical, and aligned with business objectives (Luz, 2024; Uzougbo, Ikegwu, & Adewusi, 2024c).

Responsiveness to change is a critical agile principle that enhances data governance. Traditional data governance approaches often emphasize strict adherence to predefined rules and standards, which can be inflexible and slow to adapt to changing business needs. Agile methodologies, however, embrace change and encourage teams to adapt quickly to new information and requirements (Šmite, Moe, & Gonzalez-Huerta, 2021). This can be particularly valuable in the dynamic data governance landscape, where regulatory requirements, technological advancements, and business priorities constantly evolve. Organizations can create a more adaptive and responsive data governance framework by integrating agile principles.

4.2. Strategies for Integration

Integrating agile methodologies with data governance requires thoughtful strategies that leverage the strengths of both approaches. One effective strategy is adaptive data governance, which involves continuously refining and adapting data governance policies and practices based on feedback and changing conditions. This approach aligns well with the iterative and incremental nature of agile methodologies. By regularly reviewing and updating data governance practices, organizations can ensure they remain relevant and effective in addressing current challenges and opportunities (Mikalef, Boura, Lekakos, & Krogstie, 2020).

Incremental implementation of governance policies is another strategy that can facilitate integration. Rather than attempting to implement comprehensive data governance policies simultaneously, organizations can adopt an incremental approach, focusing on high-priority areas first and gradually expanding the scope over time. This allows for manageable progress and provides opportunities for feedback and adjustment. Incremental implementation aligns with the agile practice of delivering small, valuable increments of work, ensuring that each step adds value and moves the organization closer to its data governance goals (Reddy, 2024; Uzougbo, Ikegwu, & Adewusi, 2024a).

Collaborative governance is also a key strategy for integrating agile methodologies with data governance. This approach involves engaging various stakeholders in developing, implementing, and overseeing data governance policies. By fostering collaboration among IT, legal, business units, and other stakeholders, organizations can ensure that data governance policies are comprehensive, practical, and aligned with business needs. Collaborative governance leverages the agile emphasis on teamwork and communication, promoting a culture of shared responsibility and collective ownership of data governance (Solomon et al., 2024a; Zhang, Sun, & Zhang, 2022).

4.3. Balancing Flexibility and Control

Maintaining the balance between flexibility and control is one of the most significant challenges in integrating agile methodologies with data governance. Agile methodologies prioritize flexibility and adaptability, while data governance requires a degree of control and standardization to ensure data quality, security, and compliance. Striking the right balance involves creating a governance framework that allows for data usage and management flexibility while maintaining necessary oversight and control (Vaia, Arkhipova, & DeLone, 2022).

One approach to achieving this balance is using flexible data governance policies that provide guidelines rather than rigid rules. These policies can outline best practices and standards while allowing exceptions and adaptations based on specific circumstances. This approach ensures that data governance remains relevant and practical, accommodating the unique needs and challenges of different business units and projects. Another approach is to implement role-based governance, where different levels of governance are applied based on the sensitivity and criticality of the data (Mthembu et al., 2024; Simpa et al., 2024f). For example, highly sensitive data may require strict controls and oversight. In contrast, less critical data can be managed with more flexible guidelines. This tiered approach allows organizations to apply the appropriate level of governance to different data types, ensuring both flexibility and control.

4.4. Tools and Technologies

The integration of agile methodologies with data governance can be greatly facilitated by leveraging appropriate tools and technologies. Several tools are available that support agile practices while enhancing data governance capabilities.

Agile project management tools like Jira, Trello, and Azure DevOps provide features for managing iterative development, tracking progress, and facilitating collaboration. These tools can be adapted to support data governance initiatives by creating workflows and boards that track the implementation of governance policies, monitor compliance, and manage data-related tasks. By using agile project management tools, organizations can ensure that data governance activities are transparent, collaborative, and aligned with agile practices (Barbosa, 2022; Block, 2023).

Data governance platforms like Collibra, Informatica, and Alation offer comprehensive solutions for managing data governance processes, policies, and standards. These platforms provide features for data cataloguing, data quality management, policy enforcement, and compliance monitoring. Organizations can create a seamless workflow supporting agile development and robust data governance by integrating these platforms with agile project management tools. This integration enables continuous monitoring and improvement of data governance practices, ensuring they remain effective and aligned with business needs (Luz, 2024; Mehdi, 2023).

Data analytics and reporting tools, such as Power BI, Tableau, and Qlik, can also play a crucial role in supporting the integration of agile methodologies with data governance (Ghaffar, 2020). These tools provide insights into data quality, usage patterns, and compliance, helping organizations identify areas for improvement and make informed decisions. By leveraging data analytics and reporting tools, organizations can create a data-driven approach to data governance, ensuring that policies and practices are based on accurate and timely information (Kusena, 2022; Simpa, Solomon, Adenekan, & Obasi, 2024d).

5. Achieving Flexibility and Control: Best Practices and Future Directions

Integrating agile methodologies into data governance offers a pathway to achieving the dual objectives of flexibility and control, essential for modern organizations navigating complex and rapidly changing environments. Drawing on successful examples and theoretical insights, several best practices can guide this integration, ensuring that organizations can adapt quickly while maintaining robust data management and compliance.

5.1. Best Practices

- Iterative Implementation: Adopting an iterative approach to implementing data governance practices allows organizations to start small, test, and refine their policies incrementally. This method aligns with agile principles and ensures data governance evolves based on real-world feedback and changing requirements. Organizations can achieve quick wins and build momentum by breaking down the implementation into manageable phases.
- Cross-Functional Teams: Forming cross-functional teams that include members from IT, legal, business units, and data management ensures diverse perspectives and expertise in the data governance process. This collaborative approach promotes shared ownership and accountability, facilitating the development of practical and comprehensive governance policies that align with business goals.

- Flexible Data Policies: Developing flexible data governance policies that provide guidelines rather than rigid rules enables organizations to adapt to specific circumstances while maintaining control. These policies should outline best practices for data management and compliance but allow for exceptions when justified by business needs or innovative approaches.
- Role-Based Governance: Implementing role-based governance ensures that different levels of control are applied based on the sensitivity and criticality of the data. This approach allows for stricter controls on sensitive data while granting more flexibility for less critical information, balancing the need for security and agility.
- Continuous Feedback and Improvement: Embracing a continuous feedback and improvement culture is crucial for integrating agile methodologies with data governance. Regular reviews, retrospectives, and feedback loops help identify areas for improvement, ensuring that data governance practices remain relevant and effective.

5.2. Challenges and Solutions

The integration of agile methodologies and data governance presents several challenges. One significant challenge is aligning Agile's fast-paced, iterative nature with the structured, compliance-focused data governance requirements. To overcome this, organizations can adopt a hybrid approach that combines the strengths of both methodologies, ensuring that data governance policies are implemented incrementally and reviewed regularly.

Another challenge is ensuring data security and compliance in a flexible and dynamic environment. Role-based governance can address this by applying different levels of control based on data sensitivity, ensuring that critical data remains secure while allowing more flexibility for less sensitive information. Investing in robust security measures and continuous monitoring can help mitigate risks and ensure compliance.

Resistance to change is a common challenge when integrating new methodologies. Organizations can address this by fostering a culture of collaboration and continuous improvement, engaging stakeholders at all levels, and providing education and training on the benefits and practices of agile data governance.

5.3. Future Directions

Integrating agile methodologies and data governance is an evolving field with significant potential for future research and development. Future research could explore the impact of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, on data governance practices. These technologies offer opportunities to automate and enhance data management, compliance monitoring, and decision-making processes, potentially transforming how organizations balance agility and control.

Another area for future research is the development of frameworks and tools that support the seamless integration of agile and data governance practices. These tools could provide comprehensive solutions for managing data governance processes within an agile framework, facilitating collaboration, and ensuring continuous improvement.

The increasing emphasis on data privacy and security, driven by evolving regulatory requirements and growing concerns over data breaches, will continue to shape the integration of agile methodologies and data governance. Organizations must stay abreast of these trends and adapt their practices accordingly, ensuring they respond quickly to new regulations and security threats.

6. Conclusion

Integrating agile methodologies with data governance offers a promising approach to achieving the dual objectives of flexibility and control. Organizations can develop a robust and adaptable data governance framework by adopting best practices such as iterative implementation, cross-functional teams, flexible data policies, role-based governance, and continuous feedback. While challenges exist, including aligning agile and governance principles, ensuring data security, and overcoming resistance to change, these can be addressed through thoughtful strategies and ongoing commitment to improvement.

Future research and trends, particularly in emerging technologies and evolving regulatory landscapes, will continue to influence the integration of agile methodologies and data governance. By staying informed and proactive, organizations can leverage these developments to enhance their data management capabilities, ensuring that they remain competitive and compliant in a rapidly changing environment.

In summary, achieving flexibility and control in data governance through agile methodologies is possible and essential for modern organizations. By integrating these approaches, organizations can ensure that their data governance practices are effective, adaptable, and aligned with business objectives, driving better decision-making, operational efficiency, and competitive advantage.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abrahamsson, P., Salo, O., Ronkainen, J., & Warsta, J. (2017). Agile software development methods: Review and analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.08439*.

[2] Adelakun, B. O., Nembe, J. K., Oguejiofor, B. B., Akpuokwe, C. U., & Bakare, S. S. (2024). Legal frameworks and tax compliance in the digital economy: a finance perspective. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(3), 844-853.

[3] Adenekan, O. A., Solomon, N. O., Simpa, P., & Obasi, S. C. (2024). Enhancing manufacturing productivity: A review of AI-Driven supply chain management optimization and ERP systems integration. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6*(5), 1607-1624.

[4] Aguboshim, F. C., Obiokafor, I. N., & Emenike, A. O. (2023). Sustainable data governance in the era of global data security challenges in Nigeria: A narrative review. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 17*(2), 378-385.

[5] Ahmad, K., Maabreh, M., Ghaly, M., Khan, K., Qadir, J., & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2022). Developing future human-centered smart cities: Critical analysis of smart city security, Data management, and Ethical challenges. *Computer Science Review, 43*, 100452.

[6] Al-Saqqa, S., Sawalha, S., & AbdelNabi, H. (2020). Agile software development: Methodologies and trends. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies, 14*(11).

[7] Alaidaros, H., Omar, M., & Romli, R. (2018). *Identification of criteria affecting software project monitoring task of Agile Kanban method.* Paper presented at the AIP Conference Proceedings.

[8] Alvarado, W. P., Calixto, N. J. C., & Caicedo, Á. J. (2022). Evolution Of Japanese Theories, Based On Management Philosophies: Lean Manufacturing, Just In Time And Kaizen. *Webology (ISSN: 1735-188X), 19*(6).

[9] Arora, M., Chopra, S., Rakhra, M., Minhas, V., Walia, R., Aggarwal, R., . . . Shabaz, M. (2021). Agile Umbrella Methodologies and its Global Impact. *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, 2990–3003-2990–3003.

[10] Ashmore, S., & Runyan, K. (2014). *Introduction to agile methods*: Addison-Wesley Professional.

[11] Asproni, G. (2006). An introduction to Scrum. *Software Developer's Journal, 6*, 1-10.

[12] Atadoga, J. O., Nembe, J. K., Mhlongo, N. Z., Ajayi-Nifise, A. O., Olubusola, O., Daraojimba, A. I., & Oguejiofor, B. B. (2024). Cross-Border Tax Challenges And Solutions In Global Finance. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6*(2), 252-261.

[13] Azanha, A., Argoud, A. R. T. T., Camargo Junior, J. B. d., & Antoniolli, P. D. (2017). Agile project management with Scrum: A case study of a Brazilian pharmaceutical company IT project. *International journal of managing projects in business, 10*(1), 121-142.

[14] Barbosa, X. d. R. (2022). *Integrated and Decentralized Project Management.*

[15] Benfeldt, O., Persson, J. S., & Madsen, S. (2020). Data governance as a collective action problem. *Information Systems Frontiers, 22*, 299-313.

[16] Binci, D., Cerruti, C., Masili, G., & Paternoster, C. (2023). Ambidexterity and Agile project management: an empirical framework. *The TQM Journal, 35*(5), 1275-1309.

[17] Block, S. (2023). Agile Tools: Toolbox for Product Owners & Agile Teams. In *Large-Scale Agile Frameworks: Agile Frameworks, Agile Infrastructure and Pragmatic Solutions for Digital Transformation* (pp. 221-294): Springer.

[18] Brous, P., Janssen, M., & Vilminko-Heikkinen, R. (2016). *Coordinating decision-making in data management activities: a systematic review of data governance principles.* Paper presented at the Electronic Government: 15th IFIP WG 8.5 International Conference, EGOV 2016, Guimarães, Portugal, September 5-8, 2016, Proceedings 15.

[19] Cupoli, P., Earley, S., & Henderson, D. (2014). Dama-dmbok2 framework. *Dama International.*

[20] Damij, N., & Damij, T. (2021). An approach to optimizing Kanban board workflow and shortening the project management plan. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management.*

[21] Daramola, G. O., Adewumi, A., Jacks, B. S., & Ajala, O. A. (2024a). Conceptualizing communication efficiency in energy sector project management: The role of digital tools and agile practices. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1487-1501.

[22] Daramola, G. O., Adewumi, A., Jacks, B. S., & Ajala, O. A. (2024b). Navigating complexities: A review of communication barriers in multinational energy projects. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(4), 685-697.

[23] Daramola, G. O., Jacks, B. S., Ajala, O. A., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024a). Ai applications in reservoir management: Optimizing production and recovery in oil and gas fields. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(4), 972-984.

[24] Daramola, G. O., Jacks, B. S., Ajala, O. A., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024b). Enhancing oil and gas exploration efficiency through ai-driven seismic imaging and data analysis. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1473-1486.

[25] Ghaffar, A. (2020). Integration of Business Intelligence Dashboard for Enhanced Data Analytics Capabilities.

[26] Ikegwu, C. Governance challenges faced by the bitcoin ecosystem: The way forward.

[27] Janssen, M., Brous, P., Estevez, E., Barbosa, L. S., & Janowski, T. (2020). Data governance: Organizing data for trustworthy Artificial Intelligence. *Government information quarterly, 37*(3), 101493.

[28] Joel, O., & Oguanobi, V. (2024). Geological data utilization in renewable energy mapping and volcanic region carbon storage feasibility. *Open Access Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 6*(02), 063-074.

[29] Joel, O. T., & Oguanobi, V. U. (2024a). Entrepreneurial leadership in startups and SMEs: Critical lessons from building and sustaining growth. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6*(5), 1441-1456.

[30] Joel, O. T., & Oguanobi, V. U. (2024b). Geological survey techniques and carbon storage: optimizing renewable energy site selection and carbon sequestration. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology, 11*(1), 039-051.

[31] Joel, O. T., & Oguanobi, V. U. (2024c). Geotechnical assessments for renewable energy infrastructure: ensuring stability in wind and solar projects. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1588-1605.

[32] Joel, O. T., & Oguanobi, V. U. (2024d). Leadership and management in high-growth environments: effective strategies for the clean energy sector. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6*(5), 1423-1440.

[33] Joel, O. T., & Oguanobi, V. U. (2024e). Navigating business transformation and strategic decision-making in multinational energy corporations with geodata. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(5), 801-818.

[34] Karkošková, S. (2023). Data governance model to enhance data quality in financial institutions. *Information Systems Management, 40*(1), 90-110.

[35] Karttunen, E. (2018). Retrospectives: Optimizing Workflow in Agile Teamwork Environment.

[36] Kolasani, S. (2023). Innovations in digital, enterprise, cloud, data transformation, and organizational change management using agile, lean, and data-driven methodologies. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence, 4*(4), 1-18.

[37] Kunwar, S. (2013). *Metamodelling and evaluating eXtreme programming.*

[38] Kusena, A. (2022). Skills Requirements for Business Intelligence, Business Analytics, Big Data Analytics, and Data Science: An Analysis of South African Professionals.

[39] Ladley, J. (2019). *Data governance: How to design, deploy, and sustain an effective data governance program*: Academic Press.

[40] Loeffler, M. (2017). *Improving agile retrospectives: helping teams become more efficient*: Addison-Wesley Professional.

[41] López-Alcarria, A., Olivares-Vicente, A., & Poza-Vilches, F. (2019). A systematic review of the use of agile methodologies in education to foster sustainability competencies. *Sustainability, 11*(10), 2915.

[42] Luz, A. (2024). *Fostering Collaboration and Cross-Functional Teams in Fintech Agile Environments* (2516-2314). Retrieved from

[43] Mehdi, K. (2023). Data Governance Tools. In *Data Governance: From the Fundamentals to Real Cases* (pp. 121-137): Springer.

[44] Mikalef, P., Boura, M., Lekakos, G., & Krogstie, J. (2020). The role of information governance in big data analytics driven innovation. *Information & Management, 57*(7), 103361.

[45] Milson, S., & Demir, C. (2023). *Protecting Data Privacy in the Age of Cyber Attacks: Strategies and Best Practices* (2516-2314). Retrieved from

[46] Moran, A. (2015). Managing agile. *Strategy, implementation, organisation and People. cham: Springer.*

[47] Mthembu, Z. K., Patel, S. S., Naicker, N., Joseph, S., Madamshetty, L., Moonsamy, D., . . . Govender, T. P. (2024). Developing a Data Lakehouse for a South African Government-Sector Training Authority: Governance Framework Design Through Systematic Literature Review. *Machine Learning and Data Science Techniques for Effective Government Service Delivery*, 185-224.

[48] Munappy, A. R. (2021). *Data management and Data Pipelines: An empirical investigation in the embedded systems domain*: Chalmers Tekniska Hogskola (Sweden).

[49] Nembe, J. K., Atadoga, J. O., Mhlongo, N. Z., Falaiye, T., Olubusola, O., Daraojimba, A. I., & Oguejiofor, B. B. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence in enhancing tax compliance and financial regulation. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6*(2), 241-251.

[50] Obasi, S. C., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Simpa, P. (2024). Cybersecurity's role in environmental protection and sustainable development: Bridging technology and sustainability goals. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(5), 1145-1177.

[51] Oduro, P., Uzougbo, N. S., & Ugwu, M. C. (2024). Navigating legal pathways: Optimizing energy sustainability through compliance, renewable integration, and maritime efficiency. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1732-1751.

[52] Oguanobi, V. U., & Joel, O. T. (2024). Scalable business models for startups in renewable energy: Strategies for using GIS technology to enhance SME scaling. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1571-1587.

[53] Olson, J. E. (2003). *Data quality: the accuracy dimension*: Elsevier.

[54] Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024a). Carbon capture integration in seismic interpretation: Advancing subsurface models for sustainable exploration. *International Journal of Scholarly Research in Science and Technology, 4*(01), 032-041.

[55] Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024b). Eco-efficient well planning: Engineering solutions for reduced environmental impact in hydrocarbon extraction. *International Journal of Scholarly Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 4*(01), 033-043.

[56] Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024c). Subsurface carbon sequestration potential in offshore environments: A geoscientific perspective. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1173-1183.

[57] Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024d). Sustainable strategies in onshore gas exploration: Incorporating carbon capture for environmental compliance. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1184-1202.

[58] Onwuka, O. U., & Adu, A. (2024e). Technological synergies for sustainable resource discovery: Enhancing energy exploration with carbon management. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(4), 1203-1213.

[59] Patrucco, A. S., Canterino, F., & Minelgaite, I. (2022). How do scrum methodologies influence the team's cultural values? A multiple case study on agile teams in Nonsoftware industries. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 69*(6), 3503-3513.

[60] Peng, G., Ritchey, N. A., Casey, K. S., Kearns, E. J., Prevette, J. L., Saunders, D., . . . Ansari, S. (2016). Scientific stewardship in the open data and big data era-roles and responsibilities of stewards and other major product stakeholders.

[61]   Pixton, P., Gibson, P., & Nickolaisen, N. (2014). *The Agile culture: Leading through trust and ownership*: Pearson Education.

[62]   Popoola, O. A., Adama, H. E., Okeke, C. D., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024). Cross-industry frameworks for business process reengineering: Conceptual models and practical executions. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22*(1), 1198-1208.

[63]   Raju, H., & Krishnegowda, Y. (2013). *Kanban Pull and Flow—A transparent workflow for improved quality and productivity in software developmet.* Paper presented at the Fifth International Conference on Advances in Recent Technologies in Communication and Computing (ARTCom 2013).

[64]   Ramlaoui, S., Semma, A., & Dachry, W. (2015). Achieving a balance between IT Governance and Agility. *International Journal of Computer Science Issues (IJCSI), 12*(1), 89.

[65]   Reddy, S. (2024). Generative AI in healthcare: an implementation science informed translational path on application, integration and governance. *Implementation Science, 19*(1), 27.

[66]   Rodríguez, P., Mäntylä, M., Oivo, M., Lwakatare, L. E., Seppänen, P., & Kuvaja, P. (2019). Advances in using agile and lean processes for software development. In *Advances in computers* (Vol. 113, pp. 135-224): Elsevier.

[67]   Schön, E.-M., Thomaschewski, J., & Escalona, M. J. (2017). Agile Requirements Engineering: A systematic literature review. *Computer standards & interfaces, 49*, 79-91.

[68]   Seitsamo-Räsänen, S. (2021). Building an Agile Approach to Individual Feedback.

[69]   Simpa, P., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024a). Environmental stewardship in the oil and gas sector: Current practices and future directions. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(5), 903-926.

[70]   Simpa, P., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024b). Innovative waste management approaches in LNG operations: A detailed review. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1711-1731.

[71]   Simpa, P., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024c). Nanotechnology's potential in advancing renewable energy solutions. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1695-1710.

[72]   Simpa, P., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024d). The safety and environmental impacts of battery storage systems in renewable energy. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22*(2), 564-580.

[73]   Simpa, P., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024e). Strategic implications of carbon pricing on global environmental sustainability and economic development: A conceptual framework. *International Journal of Advanced Economics, 6*(5), 139-172.

[74]   Simpa, P., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024f). Sustainability and environmental impact in the LNG value chain: Current trends and future opportunities.

[75]   Šmite, D., Moe, N. B., & Gonzalez-Huerta, J. (2021). Overcoming cultural barriers to being agile in distributed teams. *Information and Software Technology, 138*, 106612.

[76]   Solomon, N. O., Simpa, P., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024a). Circular Economy Principles and Their Integration into Global Supply Chain Strategies. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6*(5), 747-762.

[77]   Solomon, N. O., Simpa, P., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024b). Sustainable nanomaterials' role in green supply chains and environmental sustainability. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1678-1694.

[78]   Spiegler, S. V., Heinecke, C., & Wagner, S. (2021). An empirical study on changing leadership in agile teams. *Empirical Software Engineering, 26*(3), 41.

[79]   Stellman, A., & Greene, J. (2014). *Learning agile: Understanding scrum, XP, lean, and kanban*: " O'Reilly Media, Inc.".

[80]   Tetteh, S. G. (2024). Empirical Study of Agile Software Development Methodologies: A Comparative Analysis. *Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science, 17*(5), 30-42.

[81]   Uzougbo, N. S., Ikegwu, C. G., & Adewusi, A. O. (2024a). Cybersecurity compliance in financial institutions: A comparative analysis of global standards and regulations.

[82]   Uzougbo, N. S., Ikegwu, C. G., & Adewusi, A. O. (2024b). Enhancing consumer protection in cryptocurrency transactions: Legal strategies and policy recommendations.

[83] Uzougbo, N. S., Ikegwu, C. G., & Adewusi, A. O. (2024c). International enforcement of cryptocurrency laws: Jurisdictional challenges and collaborative solutions. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 11*(1), 068-083.

[84] Uzougbo, N. S., Ikegwu, C. G., & Adewusi, A. O. (2024d). Legal accountability and ethical considerations of AI in financial services. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 19*(2), 130-142.

[85] Uzougbo, N. S., Ikegwu, C. G., & Adewusi, A. O. (2024e). Regulatory Frameworks for Decentralized Finance (DeFi): Challenges and opportunities. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 19*(2), 116-129.

[86] Vaia, G., Arkhipova, D., & DeLone, W. (2022). Digital governance mechanisms and principles that enable agile responses in dynamic competitive environments. *European Journal of Information Systems, 31*(6), 662-680.

[87] Viljoen, S. (2021). A relational theory of data governance. *Yale LJ, 131*, 573.

[88] Wang, X., Conboy, K., & Cawley, O. (2012). "Leagile" software development: An experience report analysis of the application of lean approaches in agile software development. *Journal of Systems and Software, 85*(6), 1287-1299.

[89] Zhang, Q., Sun, X., & Zhang, M. (2022). Data matters: A strategic action framework for data governance. *Information & Management, 59*(4), 103642.