Open Access Research Journal of
Multidisciplinary Studies
Journals home page: https://oarjpublication/journals/oarjms/
ISSN: 2783-0268 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation: A review of potential conflicts and coexistence strategies

Olusegun Gbenga Odunaiya [1], Oluwatobi Timothy Soyombo [1], Chinelo Emilia Okoli [2], Glory Siwe Usiagu [2,*] and Ifeanyi Onyedika Ekemezie [2]

[1] *Havenhill Synergy Limited, Nigeria.*
[2] *Shell Nigeria Plc, Nigeria.*

Open Access Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies, 2024, 07(01), 111–120

Publication history: Received on 31 December 2023; revised on 08 February 2024; accepted on 10 February 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjms.2024.7.1.0015

Abstract

The global push towards renewable energy sources as a response to climate change has led to an increasing overlap between renewable energy projects and critical wildlife habitats. This review explores the potential conflicts and synergies between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation efforts. As nations strive to transition from fossil fuels to sustainable alternatives, the unintended consequences on biodiversity and ecosystems demand careful consideration. The analysis begins by examining the conflicts arising from the development of renewable energy infrastructure, such as wind and solar farms, in ecologically sensitive areas. These conflicts include habitat disruption, altered migration patterns, and the direct threat to endangered species. The paper also delves into the social implications of renewable energy projects, such as displacement of indigenous communities and the disruption of traditional land use. However, the review doesn't solely focus on conflicts but also explores coexistence strategies that can mitigate the adverse impacts of renewable energy development on wildlife. It highlights the importance of strategic project siting, wildlife-friendly design considerations, and effective mitigation measures. Additionally, the paper discusses the potential for incorporating ecological restoration efforts within renewable energy projects to enhance habitat quality and support biodiversity. Furthermore, the review addresses the role of policy frameworks in promoting sustainable coexistence between renewable energy development and wildlife conservation. It evaluates existing policies and proposes recommendations to strike a balance between the imperative of clean energy and the preservation of biodiversity. This review synthesizes current knowledge on the complex interplay between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation, offering insights into potential conflicts and proposing coexistence strategies. Balancing the dual objectives of mitigating climate change and preserving biodiversity requires a nuanced understanding of the ecological, social, and policy dimensions involved. The findings presented contribute to a holistic approach that aims to harmonize renewable energy development and wildlife conservation for a sustainable future.

Keywords: Renewable Energy; Wildlife Conservation; Conflict; Coexistence Strategies; Review

1. Introduction

The global pursuit of renewable energy solutions to combat climate change has witnessed unprecedented growth, with nations around the world increasingly embracing cleaner and more sustainable alternatives (Bhuiyan, 2022). However, this fervent drive towards renewable energy deployment is not without its ecological consequences, particularly in the realm of wildlife conservation. The intersection of renewable energy policies and critical wildlife habitats has given rise to intricate challenges, demanding a comprehensive examination of potential conflicts and the formulation of effective coexistence strategies (Zhu *et al*., 2023).

As the urgency to transition away from fossil fuels intensifies, the development of renewable energy infrastructure, such as wind and solar farms, has expanded into diverse ecosystems, often overlapping with vital wildlife habitats (Kabeyi

* Corresponding author: Glory Siwe Usiagu

and Olanrewaju, 2022). This dynamic interaction raises concerns about habitat disruption, altered migration patterns, and the direct threats posed to vulnerable species (Fobert *et al*., 2023). Moreover, the social dimensions of renewable energy projects, including the displacement of indigenous communities and the alteration of traditional land use, contribute to the complexity of the issue.

This review embarks on a nuanced exploration of the intricate relationships between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation, seeking to unravel the multifaceted layers of conflicts that arise from the coexistence of these two imperatives. By examining both the ecological and social dimensions, we aim to provide a holistic understanding of the challenges posed by renewable energy development in sensitive areas, as well as the potential consequences for biodiversity and ecosystems.

However, recognizing the imperative of a sustainable energy transition, this review does not merely highlight conflicts but also endeavors to identify and evaluate coexistence strategies. From strategic project siting to wildlife-friendly design considerations and effective mitigation measures, this examination delves into practical solutions that can reconcile the goals of renewable energy development with the imperative of wildlife conservation (Srivastava, 2023).

In this pursuit, the review will assess existing policy frameworks, proposing recommendations to strike a balance between the pressing need for clean energy and the preservation of biodiversity. Through a comprehensive analysis, we aim to contribute to the ongoing discourse on achieving a harmonious coexistence between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation, ultimately forging a path towards a sustainable and ecologically resilient future.

The purpose of this scientific review is to comprehensively explore the intricate relationships between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation. By delving into potential conflicts and coexistence strategies, the review aims to contribute to a nuanced understanding of how renewable energy development can be harmonized with the imperative of preserving biodiversity. While the mitigation of climate change is paramount, it is equally crucial to recognize and address the unintended ecological and social consequences associated with renewable energy projects (Karduri and Ananth, 2023).

2. Renewable Energy

In the contemporary era, as the specter of climate change looms ever larger, the quest for sustainable energy solutions has become a global imperative (Burns, 2022). Renewable energy, derived from sources such as solar, wind, hydro, and geothermal power, stands out as a promising alternative to conventional fossil fuels (Ang *et al*., 2022). The urgency to transition from carbon-intensive energy sources stems from the recognition that human activities have significantly altered the Earth's climate, leading to far-reaching consequences. The growing consensus among scientists and policymakers underscores the critical need to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and embrace a more sustainable energy future (Unuofin *et al*., 2023).

Renewable energy plays a pivotal role in mitigating climate change by offering a cleaner, more environmentally friendly alternative to fossil fuels (Kalair *et al*., 2021). The combustion of fossil fuels releases vast amounts of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, contributing to the greenhouse effect and global warming (Rajak, 2021). In contrast, renewable energy sources harness the Earth's natural processes without emitting harmful pollutants, thus reducing the carbon footprint associated with energy production. This transition is vital for achieving climate goals outlined in international agreements, such as the Paris Agreement, which aims to limit global temperature increases and curb the adverse impacts of climate change (Höhne *et al*., 2021).

While the imperative to adopt renewable energy is clear, the integration of these technologies into existing ecosystems has given rise to unforeseen challenges. The spatial requirements of renewable energy projects, such as wind and solar farms, often intersect with critical wildlife habitats (Dhar *et al*., 2020). The consequences are manifold and include habitat disruption, altered migration patterns, and direct threats to endangered species. The rapid expansion of renewable energy infrastructure has led to the unintended consequences of biodiversity loss, posing a conundrum for policymakers and conservationists alike. Additionally, the social dimensions of renewable energy projects, including the displacement of indigenous communities and the alteration of traditional land use, add complexity to the issue (Johnson *et al*., 2020).

This review seeks to analyze the conflicts arising from the coexistence of renewable energy and wildlife conservation, examining both the ecological and social dimensions of the issue. It will explore case studies, scientific findings, and policy frameworks to provide a holistic perspective. Moreover, the review will identify and evaluate coexistence strategies that can minimize the adverse impacts of renewable energy development on wildlife. The goal is to facilitate

a balanced and sustainable approach, recognizing the interconnectedness of climate change mitigation and biodiversity preservation.

In conclusion, as the world grapples with the urgent need to transition towards cleaner energy sources, it is imperative to address the conflicts that arise between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation (Milewicz *et al*., 2023). This scientific review aims to contribute valuable insights into the complex interplay of these two imperatives, offering a foundation for informed decision-making that considers both the imperative of mitigating climate change and the preservation of Earth's diverse ecosystems.

3. Conflicts Arising from Renewable Energy Development

The global pursuit of renewable energy as a response to climate change has catalyzed a significant expansion of renewable energy infrastructure, such as wind and solar farms (Kabir *et al*., 2022). While these technologies offer a cleaner and more sustainable alternative to conventional fossil fuels, the rapid deployment of renewable energy projects has given rise to multifaceted conflicts with critical wildlife habitats and indigenous communities (Batra, 2023). This paper delves into the ecological and social implications of conflicts arising from renewable energy development.

One of the primary ecological consequences of renewable energy development is the disruption of critical wildlife habitats. Solar and wind farms, often spanning vast areas, encroach upon ecosystems that are essential for the survival and biodiversity of various species (Muhsin *et al*., 2023). The conversion of natural landscapes into energy production sites can lead to the fragmentation and degradation of habitats, jeopardizing the delicate balance that sustains diverse flora and fauna (Dong and Huang, 2023).

Renewable energy projects, particularly those located along migratory routes, can significantly alter the migration patterns of various species (Duponchelle *et al*., 2021). Birds, in particular, are susceptible to collisions with wind turbines, leading to injuries and fatalities. Additionally, disruption of migration routes can impact the breeding and feeding behaviors of many animals, further contributing to ecological imbalance and potential population declines.

The development of renewable energy infrastructure often entails extensive land use changes, resulting in the direct destruction of habitats crucial for endangered species. This habitat loss is particularly problematic for species with limited ranges or specialized ecological requirements. The loss of key habitats can lead to population decline and hinder the recovery efforts of endangered species.

The direct threats posed by renewable energy development elevate the risk of extinction for already vulnerable species (Jager *et al*., 2021). The cumulative impact of habitat destruction, altered migration patterns, and increased human-wildlife conflict amplifies the stress on endangered populations (Kruidbos, 2022). Without effective mitigation strategies, the very species conservationists aim to protect may face heightened risks of extinction.

The social dimensions of conflicts arising from renewable energy development extend beyond ecological considerations. Indigenous communities, often residing in areas rich in renewable energy potential, face displacement and loss of ancestral lands (Pearson *et al*., 2021). This displacement disrupts cultural practices and traditional ways of life, leading to socio-economic challenges for these communities.

Renewable energy projects can alter the traditional land use patterns of local communities, impacting agriculture, hunting, and gathering practices (Frantál *et al*., 2023). The introduction of energy infrastructure may limit access to natural resources and disrupt the delicate balance that indigenous communities maintain with their environment (Baloch *et al*., 2023). This alteration in land use can have far-reaching consequences, affecting the livelihoods and well-being of these communities.

In conclusion, while renewable energy development is essential for mitigating climate change, it is imperative to acknowledge and address the conflicts it generates with critical wildlife habitats and indigenous communities. Striking a balance between renewable energy goals and conservation efforts requires careful planning, strategic project siting, and effective mitigation measures. Policymakers and stakeholders must engage in collaborative efforts to develop and implement sustainable solutions that consider both the ecological and social dimensions of renewable energy development (Arias *et al*., 2023). Only through such an integrative approach can we pave the way for a cleaner and more sustainable energy future without compromising the integrity of our planet's ecosystems and the well-being of vulnerable communities.

4. Ecological and Social Dimensions of Renewable Energy Projects

Renewable energy projects, critical for addressing climate change, have significant ecological and social dimensions that necessitate careful consideration (Kumar, 2020). As the world transitions toward cleaner energy sources, it becomes imperative to examine the consequences of renewable energy development on ecosystems and local communities (Clausen and Rudolph, 2020.). This paper delves into both the ecological and social dimensions, exploring case studies, identifying vulnerable ecosystems, and assessing the impacts on local communities.

Numerous case studies worldwide shed light on the ecological consequences of renewable energy projects. For example, large-scale solar farms can result in habitat loss and fragmentation, impacting biodiversity. In the Mojave Desert, the construction of solar facilities has been linked to the decline of local desert tortoise populations. Similarly, wind energy projects, particularly wind farms, have been associated with bird and bat fatalities due to collisions with turbines. Understanding these case studies is crucial for recognizing the diverse ecological impacts of renewable energy projects on different species and ecosystems.

Certain ecosystems are more vulnerable to the impacts of renewable energy development. Fragile habitats, such as wetlands, coastal areas, and biodiversity hotspots, face heightened risks. These ecosystems often harbor unique and endangered species, making them particularly sensitive to disturbances. Identifying these vulnerable ecosystems is essential for implementing targeted conservation measures and guiding sustainable project planning to minimize ecological impacts (Green and Grosholz, 2021).

The social dimensions of renewable energy projects extend beyond the ecological sphere, encompassing the displacement and resettlement of local communities. Large-scale projects often require substantial land, potentially leading to the displacement of residents. For example, the construction of hydropower dams can necessitate the relocation of communities residing in the affected areas. The involuntary displacement of people raises ethical and social justice concerns, requiring careful consideration and inclusive decision-making processes.

Renewable energy projects can have direct impacts on local livelihoods, particularly those tied to traditional land use practices. The main renewable energy sources with their usage in different form are classified in Table 1, and it is expected that renewable energy will be one of the important sources for the future; the world's renewable energy sources scenario by 2040 is estimated as given in Table 2.

Table 1 Main renewable energy sources with their usage form (Kumar, 2020)

Energy resource	Energy conversion and usage option
Hydropower	Power generation
Biomass	Heat and power generation, pyrolysis, gasification, digestion
Geothermal	Urban heating, power generation, hydrothermal, hot rock
Solar	Solar home system, solar dryers, solar cookers
Direct solar	Photovoltaic, thermal power generation, water heaters
Wind	Power generation, wind generators, windmills
Wave	Numerous designs
Tidal	Barrage, tidal stream

Indigenous communities, relying on agriculture, fishing, or pastoralism, may experience disruptions due to land-use changes associated with renewable energy infrastructure (Greiner *et al*., 2023). For instance, the development of bioenergy crops can compete with existing agricultural practices for land, affecting food security and economic stability in local communities. Understanding and mitigating these impacts are essential for fostering sustainable development that respects local cultures and livelihoods.

In conclusion, the ecological and social dimensions of renewable energy projects highlight the complex interplay between the goals of sustainable energy development and the need for environmental and social conservation. Acknowledging the varied ecological impacts through case studies and identifying vulnerable ecosystems guide the development of strategies to minimize negative consequences. Simultaneously, recognizing the social dimensions

involves addressing displacement issues and understanding the impacts on local livelihoods. Achieving a balance between progress and conservation requires interdisciplinary collaboration, involving ecologists, social scientists, policymakers, and local communities in the decision-making process (Sanborn and Jung, 2021). Through a comprehensive and inclusive approach, it becomes possible to navigate the complexities of renewable energy development while fostering positive ecological and social outcomes.

Table 2 Global renewable energy scenario (Kumar, 2020)

	2001	**2010**	**2020**	**2030**	**2040**
Total consumption (million tons equivalent)	10,038	10,549	11,425	12,352	13,310
Biomass	1080	1313	1791	2483	3271
Large hydro	22.7	266	309	341	358
Geothermal	43.7	86	186	333	493
Small hydro	9.5	19	49	106	189
Wind	4.7	44	266	542	688
Solar thermal	4.1	15	66	244	480
Photovoltaic	0.1	2	24	221	784
Solar thermal electricity	0.1	0.4	3	16	68
Marine (tidal/wave/ocean)	0.05	0.1	0.4	3	20
Total RES	1365,5	1745,5	2964,4	4289	6351
Renewable energy contribution source (%)	13.6	16.6	23.6	34.7	47.7

5. Coexistence Strategies

As the global transition to renewable energy intensifies, the imperative to balance ecological conservation with sustainable energy development has become increasingly apparent (Razzaq *et al*., 2023). Coexistence strategies that minimize the environmental impact of renewable energy projects while preserving biodiversity are crucial for achieving a harmonious future. This paper explores three key coexistence strategies: strategic project siting, wildlife-friendly design considerations, and effective mitigation measures.

Strategic project siting involves the careful selection of locations for renewable energy projects to minimize their ecological impact. Identifying areas with minimal ecological importance is essential to avoid disturbing critical wildlife habitats. This can be achieved through comprehensive environmental impact assessments that consider biodiversity, ecosystem services, and the sensitivity of the local environment. By steering renewable energy projects away from ecologically sensitive areas, the potential for negative impacts on wildlife and ecosystems is significantly reduced.

Understanding and considering wildlife migration routes is paramount in mitigating the impacts of renewable energy projects on animal movements (Jager *et al*., 2021). For instance, wind farms located along bird migration routes can pose a threat to avian species. Strategic project siting involves mapping and analyzing these routes to minimize the risk of collisions and disturbances. This approach ensures that renewable energy development aligns with the natural behavior of wildlife, promoting coexistence rather than conflict.

Wildlife-friendly design is a proactive approach that integrates renewable energy infrastructure with the existing habitat, minimizing disruptions to ecosystems (Roy, 2023). This includes designing wind turbine layouts that allow for safe bird passages and incorporating green spaces within solar farms to maintain habitat connectivity. By adapting the design of renewable energy projects to accommodate existing ecological features, these initiatives can exist harmoniously within the natural landscape.

Renewable energy projects, particularly wind farms, can generate noise and visual disturbances that affect wildlife behavior. Implementing wildlife-friendly design considerations involves minimizing these disturbances to reduce stress on local fauna. Utilizing noise reduction technologies, optimizing turbine placement, and employing landscaping

strategies that blend with the surroundings contribute to creating a more conducive environment for wildlife (Dhar *et al*., 2020).

Conservation easements involve legally binding agreements between landowners and conservation organizations to limit certain land uses, ensuring the protection of biodiversity (Brown *et al*., 2023). Implementing conservation easements in areas surrounding renewable energy projects helps establish buffer zones that safeguard critical habitats. This proactive approach creates a balance between energy development and conservation, preventing the encroachment of human activities into vital ecosystems.

Effective mitigation measures extend beyond avoidance and restriction. Compensatory habitat restoration programs are crucial for offsetting any unavoidable impacts of renewable energy projects (Pope *et al*., 2021). These programs involve restoring or creating new habitats in alternative locations, ensuring that the overall ecological balance is maintained or improved. By investing in habitat restoration, renewable energy developers contribute to the enhancement of biodiversity and ecosystem resilience.

Coexistence strategies that integrate renewable energy development and biodiversity conservation are essential for achieving a sustainable and ecologically responsible energy future. Strategic project siting, wildlife-friendly design considerations, and effective mitigation measures exemplify a holistic approach that aims to balance the benefits of renewable energy with the preservation of natural ecosystems. As the global community strives to address climate change, adopting these coexistence strategies ensures that the path to sustainability is not paved with unintended ecological consequences but rather guided by a commitment to cohabitate responsibly with the planet's diverse life forms (Bouramdane, 2023).

6. Case Studies

In the realm of renewable energy development, several case studies stand out as exemplars of successful coexistence between clean energy projects and biodiversity conservation. One such case is the Altamont Pass Wind Farm in California, where innovative measures have been implemented to minimize the impact on bird populations (Smallwood and Smallwood, 2021). Through ongoing collaboration with conservation organizations, the wind farm has undergone significant changes in turbine design and placement, resulting in a substantial reduction in bird fatalities.

Similarly, the Pando wind farm in Uruguay is another notable example (Cheng *et al.,* 2022). Through rigorous environmental impact assessments and stakeholder engagement, developers identified and avoided crucial habitats, minimizing disruption to local ecosystems. The incorporation of wildlife-friendly design features, such as turbine spacing and avian radar technology, has contributed to a coexistence model that prioritizes both clean energy production and biodiversity preservation (Salkanović *et al*., 2020).

These successful coexistence projects provide valuable lessons and best practices for the integration of renewable energy and biodiversity conservation; Early and comprehensive environmental impact assessments are crucial for identifying potential conflicts and planning appropriate mitigation measures (Apfelbeck *et al*., 2020). By conducting thorough assessments before project initiation, developers can proactively address ecological concerns. Effective collaboration with local communities, environmental organizations, and relevant stakeholders is key to the success of coexistence projects. Open communication channels and community involvement help in understanding local perspectives, addressing concerns, and fostering support for renewable energy initiatives. Flexibility and adaptive management are essential components of successful coexistence. As projects progress, the ability to adjust strategies based on monitoring and feedback ensures that the coexistence model remains effective in mitigating environmental impacts. Incorporating wildlife-friendly design features, such as turbine spacing, avian deterrents, and habitat preservation, minimizes negative interactions between renewable energy infrastructure and local fauna. These design considerations enhance the overall success of coexistence projects (Brotherton *et al*., 2021).

The success of coexistence projects is not limited to specific ecosystems or regions; replicable models can be adapted for various environmental contexts; in regions with extensive deserts, such as the Middle East, solar energy projects can coexist successfully with desert biodiversity. By selecting sites with minimal ecological impact and implementing habitat-friendly infrastructure, solar farms can contribute to clean energy production without compromising the fragile desert ecosystems. In forested regions like Scandinavia, coexistence models can focus on sustainable forestry practices combined with well-designed wind farms (Szajkó *et al*., 2024). Balancing the energy needs with the preservation of forest habitats and migratory routes helps maintain biodiversity while harnessing wind energy (Opperman *et al*., 2023). Coastal regions often harbor rich biodiversity and are prone to the impacts of climate change. Here, tidal and wave

energy projects can be integrated with marine conservation efforts. Strategic project siting and the implementation of marine protected areas contribute to successful coexistence in coastal ecosystems.

Case studies of successful coexistence projects showcase that harmonizing renewable energy development with biodiversity conservation is achievable through careful planning, adaptive management, and stakeholder collaboration. The lessons learned from these projects provide a roadmap for the development of replicable models tailored to different ecosystems and regions. As the global community strives for a sustainable energy future, these case studies offer valuable insights into creating a balance where clean energy and biodiversity preservation coexist for the benefit of the planet and its diverse ecosystems.

7. Policy Frameworks and Recommendations

The intersection of renewable energy development and wildlife conservation is a complex terrain where policy frameworks play a crucial role in determining the trajectory of sustainable coexistence. An analysis of existing policies reveals a varied landscape globally, with some regions demonstrating comprehensive approaches while others lag in addressing the intricate balance between clean energy goals and biodiversity preservation.

In regions such as Europe and the United States, where renewable energy adoption is significant, policy frameworks often include provisions for environmental impact assessments (EIAs) to evaluate the potential consequences of projects on local ecosystems. These assessments aim to identify and mitigate adverse impacts, ensuring a balanced approach. However, challenges persist, especially in regions where policies lack specificity regarding the protection of critical wildlife habitats and fail to incorporate long-term ecological monitoring.

Despite advancements in renewable energy policies, critical gaps and shortcomings persist; existing policies often lack specificity in addressing the protection of crucial wildlife habitats. The generalization of guidelines may lead to inadequate safeguards for vulnerable ecosystems, leaving them susceptible to habitat disruption and fragmentation. While some policies acknowledge the importance of community engagement, there is often a disconnect between policy formulation and meaningful involvement of local communities. In many instances, affected communities are not adequately consulted or informed about the potential impacts of renewable energy projects on their lands, leading to increased resistance and conflicts.

To bridge the existing gaps and enhance the effectiveness of policy frameworks, several recommendations can be considered; policies should mandate the inclusion of wildlife-focused assessments within EIAs. This involves detailed studies on the potential impacts of renewable energy projects on local fauna, considering migration patterns, breeding grounds, and overall biodiversity. Implement policies that necessitate long-term ecological monitoring post-project implementation. This ensures the ongoing evaluation of impacts and allows for adaptive management strategies to be employed in response to changing ecological dynamics.

Policies should mandate early and inclusive consultations with local communities during the planning stages of renewable energy projects. This involves transparent communication about potential impacts, addressing concerns, and integrating local knowledge into decision-making processes. Policies should incorporate mechanisms that ensure tangible benefits for local communities affected by renewable energy projects. This may include revenue-sharing arrangements, job creation initiatives, or investments in community infrastructure, fostering a sense of shared ownership and responsibility. Encourage policies that facilitate the establishment of community-based monitoring programs. This empowers local communities to actively participate in monitoring the ecological impacts of renewable energy projects, promoting a collaborative approach between stakeholders.

These recommendations aim to fortify policy frameworks, creating a more resilient and adaptable structure that aligns renewable energy development with the preservation of biodiversity. Strengthened environmental impact assessments and enhanced community engagement mechanisms foster a comprehensive approach that considers ecological nuances and addresses the concerns of local communities. As the global energy landscape evolves, policy improvements are paramount in ensuring that the pursuit of renewable energy aligns harmoniously with wildlife conservation, ushering in a sustainable future for both humanity and the diverse ecosystems we share the planet with.

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, the intricate interplay between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation demands a delicate and nuanced approach that recognizes the imperative of clean energy while safeguarding biodiversity and ecosystems.

As the global community grapples with the urgency of mitigating climate change, the review has shed light on the potential conflicts arising from the development of renewable energy projects and the imperative to coexist responsibly with nature.

The conflicts outlined, ranging from habitat disruption to social implications, underscore the importance of a comprehensive understanding of the ecological and human dimensions involved in renewable energy development. While the unintended consequences on wildlife and local communities are evident, this review has also explored coexistence strategies that offer a pathway toward sustainable energy solutions that minimize ecological impacts.

Strategic project siting, wildlife-friendly design considerations, and effective mitigation measures emerge as pivotal components in achieving a balance between renewable energy goals and wildlife conservation. Learning from successful case studies, it becomes apparent that when thoughtful planning, community engagement, and adaptive management are integrated into renewable energy projects, harmonious coexistence is attainable.

Moreover, the examination of existing policy frameworks has revealed both strengths and weaknesses. While some regions demonstrate proactive policies incorporating environmental impact assessments and community engagement, critical gaps persist, necessitating improvements for a more holistic approach.

The recommendations outlined, such as strengthening environmental impact assessments and enhancing community engagement mechanisms, provide a blueprint for policy enhancements. These proposed measures aim to fortify the foundations of renewable energy policies, ensuring they align with the principles of sustainability, ecological integrity, and social equity.

In moving forward, a collaborative effort is essential, bringing together policymakers, conservationists, local communities, and industry stakeholders to forge a collective understanding and commitment to responsible renewable energy development. By implementing the lessons learned from successful coexistence projects and integrating robust policy improvements, we can navigate the potential conflicts and cultivate a future where clean energy and wildlife conservation coalesce seamlessly.

In the pursuit of a sustainable future, the review emphasizes the need for an ongoing dialogue and adaptive strategies that evolve with emerging knowledge and technological advancements. By fostering a holistic approach that prioritizes both environmental and social considerations, we can forge a path towards a cleaner, greener, and more harmonious coexistence between renewable energy policies and wildlife conservation.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The author has no conflict of interest in this research.

References

[1] Ang, T.Z., Salem, M., Kamarol, M., Das, H.S., Nazari, M.A. and Prabaharan, N., 2022. A comprehensive study of renewable energy sources: Classifications, challenges and suggestions. Energy Strategy Reviews, 43, p.100939.

[2] Apfelbeck, B., Snep, R.P., Hauck, T.E., Ferguson, J., Holy, M., Jakoby, C., MacIvor, J.S., Schär, L., Taylor, M. and Weisser, W.W., 2020. Designing wildlife-inclusive cities that support human-animal co-existence. Landscape and Urban Planning, 200, p.103817.

[3] Arias, A., Feijoo, G. and Moreira, M.T., 2023. Biorefineries as a driver for sustainability: Key aspects, actual development and future prospects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 418, p.137925.

[4] Baloch, Q.B., Shah, S.N., Iqbal, N., Sheeraz, M., Asadullah, M., Mahar, S. and Khan, A.U., 2023. Impact of tourism development upon environmental sustainability: A suggested framework for sustainable ecotourism. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(3), pp.5917-5930.

[5] Batra, G., 2023. Renewable Energy Economics: Achieving Harmony between Environmental Protection and Economic Goals. Social Science Chronicle, 2, pp.1-32.

[6] Bhuiyan, M.R.A., 2022. Overcome the future environmental challenges through sustainable and renewable energy resources. Micro & Nano Letters, 17(14), pp.402-416.

[7] Bouramdane, A.A., 2023. Optimal Water Management Strategies: Paving the Way for Sustainability in Smart Cities. Smart Cities, 6(5), pp.2849-2882.

[8] Brotherton, P., Anderson, H., Galbraith, C., Isaac, D., Lawton, J., Lewis, M., Mainwaring-Evans, T., McGuckin, S., Ormerod, S., Osowska, F. and Sizeland, P., 2021. Nature positive 2030-evidence report.

[9] Brown, S.A., Rotman, R.M., Powell, M.A. and Wilhelm Stanis, S.A., 2023. Conservation easements: A tool for preserving wildlife habitat on private lands. Wildlife Society Bulletin, p.e1415.

[10] Burns, E.A., 2022. Global Peak Society. In The Palgrave Handbook of Global Social Change (pp. 1-25). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[11] Cheng, C., Gutierrez, N.P., Blakers, A. and Stocks, M., 2022. GIS-based solar and wind resource assessment and least-cost 100% renewable electricity modelling for Bolivia. Energy for Sustainable Development, 69, pp.134-149.

[12] Clausen, L.T. and Rudolph, D., 2020. Renewable energy for sustainable rural development: Synergies and mismatches. Energy Policy, 138, p.111289.

[13] Dhar, A., Naeth, M.A., Jennings, P.D. and El-Din, M.G., 2020. Perspectives on environmental impacts and a land reclamation strategy for solar and wind energy systems. Science of the total environment, 718, p.134602.

[14] Dong, L. and Huang, Z., 2023. Some evidence and new insights for feedback loops of human-nature interactions from a holistic Earth perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production, p.139667.

[15] Duponchelle, F., Isaac, V.J., Rodrigues Da Costa Doria, C., Van Damme, P.A., Herrera-r, G.A., Anderson, E.P., Cruz, R.E., Hauser, M., Hermann, T.W., Agudelo, E. and Bonilla-Castillo, C., 2021. Conservation of migratory fishes in the Amazon basin. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 31(5), pp.1087-1105.

[16] Fobert, E.K., Miller, C.R., Swearer, S.E. and Mayer-Pinto, M., 2023. The impacts of artificial light at night on the ecology of temperate and tropical reefs. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 378(1892), p.20220362.

[17] Frantál, B., Frolova, M. and Liñán-Chacón, J., 2023. Conceptualizing the patterns of land use conflicts in wind energy development: Towards a typology and implications for practice. Energy Research & Social Science, 95, p.102907.

[18] Green, S.J. and Grosholz, E.D., 2021. Functional eradication as a framework for invasive species control. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 19(2), pp.98-107.

[19] Greiner, C., Klagge, B. and Owino, E.A., 2023. The political ecology of geothermal development: Green sacrifice zones or energy landscapes of value?. Energy Research & Social Science, 99, p.103063.

[20] Höhne, N., Kuramochi, T., Warnecke, C., Röser, F., Fekete, H., Hagemann, M., Day, T., Tewari, R., Kurdziel, M., Sterl, S. and Gonzales, S., 2021, December. The Paris Agreement: resolving the inconsistency between global goals and national contributions. In Climate Policy after the 2015 Paris Climate Conference (pp. 30-46). Routledge.

[21] Jager, H.I., Efroymson, R.A. and McManamay, R.A., 2021. Renewable energy and biological conservation in a changing world. Biological Conservation, 263, p.109354.

[22] Johnson, O.W., Han, J.Y.C., Knight, A.L., Mortensen, S., Aung, M.T., Boyland, M. and Resurrección, B.P., 2020. Intersectionality and energy transitions: A review of gender, social equity and low-carbon energy. Energy Research & Social Science, 70, p.101774.

[23] Kabeyi, M.J.B. and Olanrewaju, O.A., 2022. Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. Frontiers in Energy research, 9, p.1032.

[24] Kabir, M., Kabir, Z. and Sultana, N., 2022. Climate change, sustainability, and renewable energy in developing economies. In Renewable Energy and Sustainability (pp. 377-415). Elsevier.

[25] Kalair, A., Abas, N., Saleem, M.S., Kalair, A.R. and Khan, N., 2021. Role of energy storage systems in energy transition from fossil fuels to renewables. Energy Storage, 3(1), p.e135.

[26] Karduri, R.K.R. and Ananth, C., 2023. Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS): Viability and Potential. This paper has been published in International Journal of Advanced Research In Basic Engineering Sciences and Technology (IJARBEST) DOI, 10.

[27] Kruidbos, F., 2022. Ecology of zoonotic pathways indicating conflict and mass migration. In The Climate-Conflict-Displacement Nexus from a Human Security Perspective (pp. 251-291). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[28] Kumar, M., 2020. Social, economic, and environmental impacts of renewable energy resources. Wind solar hybrid renewable energy system, 1.

[29] Milewicz, J., Mokrzan, D. and Szymański, G.M., 2023. Environmental Impact Evaluation as a Key Element in Ensuring Sustainable Development of Rail Transport. Sustainability, 15(18), p.13754.

[30] Muhsin, E.A., Hussain, B.A. and Hammadi, A.M., 2023. The Climate Change and Biodiversity: A Review on Understanding the Global and Local Impacts of Warming on The Ecosystems: A review. Al-Kufa University Journal for Biology, 15(2), pp.10-18.

[31] Opperman, J.J., Carvallo, J.P., Kelman, R., Schmitt, R.J., Almeida, R., Chapin, E., Flecker, A., Goichot, M., Grill, G., Harou, J.J. and Hartmann, J., 2023. Balancing renewable energy and river resources by moving from individual assessments of hydropower projects to energy system planning. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 10.

[32] Pearson, J., Jackson, G. and McNamara, K.E., 2021. Climate-driven losses to Indigenous and local knowledge and cultural heritage. The Anthropocene Review, 10(2).

[33] Pope, J., Morrison-Saunders, A., Bond, A. and Retief, F., 2021. When is an offset not an offset? A framework of necessary conditions for biodiversity offsets. Environmental Management, 67, pp.424-435.

[34] Rajak, J., 2021. A preliminary review on impact of climate change and our environment with reference to global warming. Int. J. Environ. Sci, 10, pp.11-14.

[35] Razzaq, A., Sharif, A., Ozturk, I. and Afshan, S., 2023. Dynamic and threshold effects of energy transition and environmental governance on green growth in COP26 framework. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 179, p.113296.

[36] Roy, S., 2023. Ecological consequences of railway infrastructure development: A case study of the Belgrade-Novi Sad Corridor. Oppor Chall. Sustain, 2(3), pp.116-129.

[37] Salkanović, E., Enevoldsen, P. and Xydis, G., 2020. Applying AI-Based Solutions to Avoid Bird Collisions at Wind Parks. In Complementary Resources for Tomorrow: Proceedings of Energy & Resources for Tomorrow 2019, University of Windsor, Canada (pp. 111-124). Springer International Publishing.

[38] Sanborn, T. and Jung, J., 2021. Intersecting social science and conservation. Frontiers in Marine Science, 8, p.676394.

[39] Smallwood, K.S. and Smallwood, N.L., 2021. Breeding density and collision mortality of loggerhead shrike (Lanius ludovicianus) in the Altamont Pass Wind Resource Area. Diversity, 13(11), p.540.

[40] Srivastava, B., 2023. Comparative Analysis of Biodiversity Indexes Software for Accurate Assessment. National Webinar on Biodiversity and Conservation-2023, 2023, P.53.

[41] Szajkó, G., Rácz, V.J. and Kis, A., 2024. The role of price incentives in enhancing carbon sequestration in the forestry sector of Hungary. Forest Policy and Economics, 158, p.103097.

[42] Unuofin, J.O., Iwarere, S.A. and Daramola, M.O., 2023. Embracing the future of circular bio-enabled economy: unveiling the prospects of microbial fuel cells in achieving true sustainable energy. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(39), pp.90547-90573.

[43] Zhu, H., Jiang, M., Zhang, D., Goh, H.H., Wang, S., Mao, D., Zhao, H., Liu, T. and Wu, T., 2023. Carbon neutrality pathways exploration-A state-of-the-art study: Key technological advancements, current challenges, and potential opportunities. Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, 60, p.103489