(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Geospatial AI and data analytics for satellite-based disaster prediction and risk assessment

Adeoye Idowu Afolabi [1, *], Nurudeen Yemi Hussain [2], Blessing Austin-Gabriel [3], Adebimpe Bolatito Ige [4], Peter Adeyemo Adepoju [5]

[1] Independent Researcher, Nigeria.
[2] Independent Researcher, Texas, USA
[3] Independent Researcher, NJ, USA
[4] Independent Researcher, Canada.
[5] Independent Researcher, Lagos Nigeria.

Abstract

The integration of Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) and data analytics with satellite technology offers transformative potential in disaster prediction and risk assessment. This paper explores the role of GeoAI in analyzing diverse geospatial datasets, such as optical, radar, and thermal satellite imagery, to predict and monitor disasters, including floods, wildfires, earthquakes, and landslides. Key applications of GeoAI include early warning systems, real-time hazard detection, and long-term resilience planning, enabling proactive decision-making and resource optimization. The paper also examines the benefits of predictive capabilities in minimizing disaster impacts, enhancing disaster preparedness, and reducing vulnerabilities. Furthermore, it addresses the challenges of handling complex geospatial data, ethical considerations, and the need for inclusive and transparent GeoAI frameworks. Recommendations for improving GeoAI approaches, such as enhancing data integration, advancing algorithms, and fostering public engagement, are provided. The findings underscore GeoAI's critical role in building disaster-resilient societies and highlight the need for continued innovation, collaboration, and ethical practices in its deployment.

Keywords: Geospatial AI; Disaster prediction; Satellite technology; Risk assessment; Data analytics

1. Introduction

Natural disasters threaten human lives, infrastructure, and economies worldwide. The frequency and intensity of hurricanes, floods, wildfires, and earthquakes have increased recently, exacerbated by climate change and urbanization (Chaudhary & Piracha, 2021). Disaster prediction and risk assessment are pivotal in mitigating these impacts by enabling early warning systems, resource allocation, and informed decision-making. Accurate predictions can save countless lives and billions of dollars by facilitating timely evacuation plans, safeguarding critical infrastructure, and preventing cascading effects on communities and ecosystems (Šakić Trogrlić et al., 2022).

However, traditional approaches to disaster management often rely on historical data, which may not accurately represent future scenarios. Furthermore, they may fail to capture the dynamic nature of hazards and vulnerabilities. The need for more advanced, real-time, and predictive systems underscores the importance of integrating modern technologies into disaster management frameworks (Sufri, Dwirahmadi, Phung, & Rutherford, 2020).

Satellite technology and geospatial data have become indispensable tools in disaster prediction and risk assessment. Satellites provide a bird's-eye view of Earth's surface, capturing critical information across temporal and spatial scales. For instance, optical satellites monitor land use and vegetation cover, while radar satellites penetrate cloud cover to

---

* Corresponding author: Adeoye Idowu Afolabi

measure surface deformation, flooding, and soil moisture. Thermal imaging satellites detect heat anomalies that signal wildfires or volcanic activity. Often global and continuous data sources enable comprehensive monitoring of disaster-prone areas (Sun et al., 2022).

Geospatial data derived from satellites, combine with other sources such as ground sensors and aerial imagery to create detailed maps and models of the environment. This information facilitates the identification of disaster hotspots, the monitoring of hazard evolution, and the assessment of community vulnerabilities. By providing real-time and historical data, satellite technology empowers stakeholders to anticipate risks, respond effectively, and recover more quickly from disasters (Chuvieco, 2020).

The advent of Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) has revolutionized the way geospatial data are analyzed and utilized. GeoAI leverages machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques to extract patterns, detect anomalies, and predict future events from vast amounts of geospatial data. Unlike traditional methods, which may struggle with the complexity and sheer volume of satellite-derived data, GeoAI excels in processing large datasets efficiently and uncovering subtle relationships within the data (W. Li & Hsu, 2022). When integrated with advanced data analytics, GeoAI enhances disaster prediction and risk assessment accuracy, scalability, and timeliness. For example, ML algorithms can analyze satellite images to identify early signs of flooding or drought, while DL models can predict the trajectory and intensity of hurricanes. Moreover, predictive analytics tools use historical and real-time data to estimate the likelihood of disasters, guiding resource allocation and planning efforts. This synergy between GeoAI and data analytics has significantly improved the capabilities of disaster management systems, transforming them into proactive and adaptive frameworks (Gonzales-Inca et al., 2022).

This paper explores the transformative potential of Geospatial AI and data analytics in satellite-based disaster prediction and risk assessment. The primary objective is to highlight how these technologies address critical challenges in disaster management, including data overload, prediction accuracy, and decision-making efficiency. By analyzing the roles of satellite technology and GeoAI, the paper underscores their contributions to enhancing local, national, and global disaster resilience.

The scope of the discussion encompasses key advancements in GeoAI, the integration of data analytics with geospatial systems, and real-world applications of these technologies. It also considers the challenges and ethical implications of implementing GeoAI in disaster management, providing a comprehensive view of its opportunities and limitations. By focusing on these aspects, the paper aims to inform researchers, policymakers, and practitioners about the current state and future potential of Geospatial AI and data analytics in building safer, more resilient communities.

2. Geospatial Data and Satellite Technology

2.1. Description of Satellite Systems and Types of Geospatial Data Used for Disaster Prediction

Satellite systems are fundamental to the acquisition of geospatial data essential for disaster prediction and risk assessment. These systems can be broadly categorized based on their orbits and the types of sensors they carry (Shafapourtehrany et al., 2023). Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellites, positioned between 500 to 2,000 kilometers above the Earth, are renowned for their high-resolution imagery and frequent revisits, making them ideal for monitoring rapid environmental changes. In contrast, Geostationary Earth Orbit (GEO) satellites remain fixed relative to a specific point on the Earth's surface, providing continuous monitoring of weather patterns and other atmospheric phenomena over large areas (Elliott, 2020).

The types of geospatial data acquired from these satellite systems are diverse, each serving distinct purposes in disaster management. Optical data capture visible light images of the Earth's surface, similar to photographs, and are invaluable for mapping land use, vegetation cover, and urban infrastructure. These images are crucial for assessing damage post-disaster, such as identifying areas affected by floods or landslides (Yu, Yang, & Li, 2018). Radar data, obtained through Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) systems, can penetrate cloud cover and operate regardless of lighting conditions. This makes radar data indispensable for monitoring disasters like hurricanes and floods, which often occur under cloudy skies or at night. Additionally, radar can measure surface deformation, providing insights into earthquake activity and volcanic eruptions (Schumann, Brakenridge, Kettner, Kashif, & Niebuhr, 2018).

Thermal data capture the infrared radiation emitted by objects, allowing for the detection of heat anomalies. This type of data is particularly useful in identifying wildfires, monitoring volcanic activity, and assessing the thermal properties of urban areas, which can influence the spread of heat waves. Although less common in satellite systems, Lidar (Light Detection and Ranging) technology provides precise elevation data that is essential for flood modeling and assessing

the topography of disaster-prone regions. Each type of geospatial data offers unique advantages, and their integration enhances the overall capability to predict and respond to disasters effectively (Coppola et al., 2020).

2.2. Data Sources and Their Contributions to Disaster Monitoring and Risk Assessment

A multitude of satellite missions and data sources contribute to the comprehensive monitoring and assessment of disaster risks. Prominent among these is the Landsat program, a series of Earth-observing satellites jointly managed by NASA and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) (Chuvieco, 2020). Landsat satellites provide long-term, high-resolution optical imagery critical for tracking land use changes, deforestation, and urban expansion—all factors influencing disaster vulnerability. The Sentinel satellites, part of the European Space Agency's Copernicus program, offer various data products, including optical, radar, and atmospheric measurements. Sentinel-1's SAR capabilities, for example, are pivotal for monitoring ground deformation and surface water extent, aiding in flood prediction and earthquake analysis (Wulder et al., 2022).

Commercial satellite operators, such as Planet Labs and Maxar Technologies, provide high-frequency, high-resolution imagery that complements government-led initiatives. These commercial sources enable near-real-time monitoring of evolving disaster scenarios, enhancing the responsiveness of emergency management teams. Additionally, NASA's Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) aboard the Terra and Aqua satellites delivers daily global coverage of various environmental parameters, including fire hotspots, vegetation health, and sea surface temperatures, which are essential for tracking wildfires, droughts, and cyclones (Parkinson, 2022).

Beyond satellite-specific data, integrating information from ground-based sensors and aerial platforms enriches the geospatial datasets available for disaster management. Ground sensors can provide localized data on soil moisture, precipitation, and seismic activity, which offer a more nuanced understanding of disaster dynamics when combined with satellite observations. Aerial drones and aircraft-based sensors can capture high-resolution, targeted data in areas that are difficult to access, ensuring comprehensive coverage of affected regions (Y. Li et al., 2021).

The synergy of these diverse data sources facilitates a multi-dimensional approach to disaster monitoring and risk assessment. By leveraging the strengths of each data type and source, stakeholders can achieve a more accurate and timely understanding of potential hazards, thereby improving preparedness and response strategies.

2.3. Challenges in Handling Geospatial Data

Despite the significant advancements in satellite technology and the proliferation of geospatial data, several challenges impede the effective utilization of this information for disaster prediction and risk assessment. One of the foremost challenges is the volume of data generated by modern satellite systems (Yu et al., 2018). High-resolution sensors and frequent satellite passes produce vast data, often reaching petabytes annually. Managing, storing, and processing such large datasets requires substantial computational resources and sophisticated data management strategies. Traditional data storage solutions are often inadequate, necessitating the adoption of cloud-based platforms and distributed computing frameworks to handle the scale and complexity of geospatial data (Munawar, Mojtahedi, Hammad, Kouzani, & Mahmud, 2022).

The velocity at which geospatial data is generated and needs to be processed poses another significant challenge. Disasters are dynamic events that evolve rapidly, requiring real-time or near-real-time data processing to provide timely warnings and actionable insights. The latency between data acquisition, transmission, processing, and dissemination can hinder the effectiveness of early warning systems. Achieving low-latency data processing involves optimizing data pipelines, enhancing computational efficiency, and deploying edge computing solutions where data can be processed closer to the source (S. M. Khan et al., 2023).

The variety of geospatial data, encompassing different formats, resolutions, and types, adds another layer of complexity. Integrating heterogeneous data sources—such as optical images, radar data, thermal measurements, and ground-based sensor readings—requires robust data fusion techniques and standardized data formats (Armstrong, Wang, & Zhang, 2019). Inconsistent data quality and varying spatial and temporal resolutions can complicate the integration process, making it difficult to create cohesive and reliable datasets for analysis. Developing interoperable systems and adopting common standards are essential to overcome these barriers and ensure seamless data integration (Rieke et al., 2018).

Additionally, data quality and accuracy are critical concerns in disaster management. Satellite data can be affected by atmospheric conditions, sensor malfunctions, and signal interference, leading to potential inaccuracies. Ensuring data integrity and implementing quality control measures are paramount to maintaining the reliability of disaster prediction models (A. Khan, Gupta, & Gupta, 2020). Moreover, data accessibility and sharing present challenges, particularly when

dealing with proprietary commercial data or navigating international data-sharing agreements. Facilitating open data policies and fostering collaboration among international space agencies can enhance data availability and support global disaster management efforts (Gupta, Almomani, Khasawneh, & Darabkh, 2022).

Finally, the technical expertise required to process and analyze geospatial data is often a limiting factor. Effective utilization of geospatial data for disaster prediction necessitates specialized skills in remote sensing, GIS (Geographic Information Systems), machine learning, and data analytics. Bridging the knowledge gap through training and capacity-building initiatives is essential to empower stakeholders and ensure that geospatial data can be harnessed effectively for disaster risk reduction (El Khaled & Mcheick, 2019).

3. AI Techniques in Geospatial Analytics

3.1. Machine Learning and Deep Learning Applications in Analyzing Geospatial Data

Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), has transformed the analysis of geospatial data. These techniques enable the automated processing and interpretation of vast and complex datasets, overcoming the limitations of traditional analytical methods (Goel, Goel, & Kumar, 2023). Machine learning algorithms, such as random forests, support vector machines, and gradient boosting, can analyze structured data like terrain attributes or meteorological readings to predict disaster-prone areas. These methods effectively correlate multiple variables and uncover hidden patterns within geospatial datasets (Döllner, 2020).

Deep learning, a subset of ML, excels in handling unstructured data, such as satellite imagery. For instance, convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are widely used in image analysis to detect and classify disaster indicators like flood extents, wildfire boundaries, or structural damages. By training on large datasets, these models learn intricate patterns and features, making them highly accurate in identifying subtle environmental changes. For example, CNNs can differentiate between healthy vegetation and areas stressed by drought, enabling proactive measures in water management (Mukonza & Chiang, 2023).

Another emerging deep learning technique, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), is particularly suited for temporal geospatial data analysis. RNNs and their variants, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, can analyze time-series data like rainfall patterns, river discharge levels, or atmospheric temperatures. This capability allows modeling dynamic processes, such as storm evolution or river flooding, providing more precise predictions of disaster onset and progression (Segal-Rozenhaimer, Li, Das, & Chirayath, 2020).

3.2. Predictive Modeling for Disaster Forecasting Using Satellite Data

Powered by AI, predictive modeling plays a pivotal role in disaster forecasting. By leveraging historical and real-time satellite data, AI models can predict the likelihood, timing, and severity of disasters, enabling timely interventions. For instance, ML models trained on historical cyclone tracks and intensity metrics can predict the path and strength of future storms, helping authorities prepare evacuation plans and allocate resources effectively (Ghaffarian, Taghikhah, & Maier, 2023).

Similarly, AI-driven drought forecasting relies on satellite-derived soil moisture, precipitation, and vegetation health data. Combining these inputs with climate projections, predictive models can estimate the onset and duration of droughts, guiding agricultural practices and water resource management. In the case of wildfires, predictive modeling integrates thermal imagery, vegetation indices, and meteorological data to assess fire risk in real time. Such models are critical for preemptive firefighting efforts and minimizing loss of life and property (Kogan, Guo, & Yang, 2019).

Predictive models also contribute significantly to landslide risk assessment. By analyzing topographical features, rainfall data, and soil conditions from satellite imagery, these models can identify areas with high susceptibility to landslides. Moreover, AI-enhanced flood prediction models use radar data, digital elevation models, and hydrological parameters to simulate water flow and identify regions at risk of inundation. These applications highlight how AI techniques enhance the precision and reliability of disaster forecasting systems (Hanadé Houmma, El Mansouri, Gadal, Garba, & Hadria, 2022).

3.3. The Use of AI for Pattern Recognition, Anomaly Detection, and Risk Evaluation

AI techniques excel in pattern recognition and anomaly detection, which are critical for disaster prediction and risk assessment. Pattern recognition involves identifying recurring trends and structures in geospatial data, while anomaly detection focuses on recognizing deviations that may indicate potential disasters (Rezvani, Falcão, Komljenovic, & de

Almeida, 2023). For instance, AI algorithms can identify patterns of vegetation stress in optical satellite imagery, signaling early warnings of droughts or pest infestations. Similarly, they can detect changes in glacier morphology or ice sheet movement from radar data, providing insights into climate-induced risks. AI-powered tools can also detect anomalies, such as unusual thermal signatures, which may indicate the onset of a wildfire or volcanic activity (Munawar, Qayyum, Ullah, & Sepasgozar, 2020).

Risk evaluation is another domain in which AI has proven indispensable. By integrating multiple geospatial data layers—such as hazard maps, population density, and infrastructure vulnerability—AI systems can generate comprehensive risk assessments. These assessments enable policymakers to prioritize mitigation efforts, allocate resources efficiently, and design resilient infrastructure. For example, AI models can combine seismic activity data with building design parameters to estimate the potential damage of an earthquake, guiding retrofitting initiatives in high-risk areas (Ghaffarian et al., 2023).

3.4.   Integration of AI with GIS Platforms for Spatial Analysis

The integration of AI with Geographic Information Systems has significantly enhanced spatial analysis capabilities. GIS platforms are instrumental in managing and visualizing geospatial data, while AI adds an analytical layer that automates complex tasks and improves decision-making accuracy. Together, they form a powerful toolset for disaster prediction and risk assessment.

One of the key advantages of AI-enhanced GIS is its ability to perform spatial clustering and segmentation. For example, clustering algorithms can group regions with similar risk profiles based on factors like elevation, land use, and hazard exposure. This helps identify areas that require targeted disaster preparedness measures. Segmentation techniques, on the other hand, are used to delineate disaster boundaries, such as flood zones or burn scars, from satellite imagery (Chen et al., 2021).

AI integration also facilitates real-time monitoring and alert systems within GIS platforms. AI algorithms can detect emerging hazards and trigger alerts by continuously analyzing incoming satellite data. For instance, a GIS platform integrated with AI could monitor river levels and rainfall patterns to issue flood warnings, complete with spatial maps of the affected areas.

Furthermore, AI enables predictive spatial modeling within GIS frameworks. Predictive tools can simulate disaster scenarios under various conditions, such as different rainfall intensities or urban expansion rates. These simulations provide valuable insights for urban planners and emergency responders, helping them design resilient cities and optimize evacuation routes (Abid et al., 2021).

AI-GIS integration also supports community engagement by creating intuitive, interactive dashboards. These platforms allow stakeholders to visualize risk data, explore mitigation strategies, and participate in disaster planning processes. By democratizing access to information, these tools empower communities to participate actively in disaster resilience efforts (Mullachery & Alismail, 2022).

4.   Applications and Impacts

4.1.   Real-World Applications of Geospatial AI in Disaster Management

Geospatial AI has found extensive applications in disaster management, transforming how natural and man-made hazards are predicted, monitored, and mitigated. One prominent example is flood prediction and monitoring, where GeoAI analyzes satellite radar data to map water levels and predict flood extents. By integrating terrain elevation models and weather forecasts, AI algorithms can simulate water flow and identify areas at risk of inundation. This capability enables governments to issue early warnings and implement targeted evacuation strategies, reducing casualties and property damage (Gonzales-Inca et al., 2022).

GeoAI plays a critical role in wildfire monitoring by analyzing thermal satellite imagery and vegetation indices to detect potential fire hotspots. Predictive models, trained on historical fire data and meteorological conditions, can forecast fire spread patterns, aiding firefighting efforts and resource allocation. For instance, GeoAI tools were used during the California wildfires to track active fire perimeters and provide real-time updates to emergency responders and affected communities (Kanwal, Rafaqat, Iqbal, & Weiguo, 2023).

GeoAI also enhances earthquake risk assessment by analyzing ground deformation data from radar satellites. GeoAI systems can identify fault line activity by detecting minute changes in surface displacement, offering insights into potential seismic events. These tools are particularly valuable in earthquake-prone regions, where early detection of tectonic movements can inform building codes and disaster preparedness plans. In addition to these applications, GeoAI is instrumental in landslide prediction by integrating rainfall data, soil characteristics, and topographical features to identify vulnerable areas. Similarly, it supports hurricane tracking and intensity forecasting by analyzing cloud patterns, sea surface temperatures, and wind speeds. These use cases highlight the versatility and effectiveness of GeoAI in addressing a wide range of disaster scenarios (Shafapourtehrany et al., 2023).

4.2. Benefits of Predictive Capabilities in Minimizing Disaster Impacts

The predictive capabilities of GeoAI offer numerous benefits in minimizing the impacts of disasters, primarily by enabling proactive and informed decision-making. Early warnings generated by AI-powered systems give communities and authorities sufficient time to prepare for impending hazards. For example, accurate flood predictions allow for timely evacuations, safeguarding human lives and reducing injuries. Similarly, wildfire forecasts enable preemptive measures, such as creating firebreaks and deploying firefighting teams to high-risk areas.

GeoAI's ability to assess disaster risks in real time also aids resource optimization. GeoAI ensures that relief efforts are efficient and targeted by pinpointing affected regions and their specific needs. For instance, GeoAI can identify vulnerable populations and critical infrastructure during a cyclone, guiding the distribution of emergency supplies and medical aid (Contreras, Wilkinson, & James, 2021). Furthermore, GeoAI contributes to long-term disaster resilience by informing urban planning and infrastructure development. Risk maps generated by GeoAI help identify safe zones for housing and critical facilities, reducing vulnerability to future disasters. GeoAI tools assess drought risks and optimize water usage in agriculture, supporting sustainable farming practices in disaster-prone regions (Zou et al., 2023). The economic benefits of GeoAI are also significant. By minimizing losses through early interventions and effective resource management, GeoAI reduces the financial burden of disasters on governments and communities. For instance, timely evacuation during a flood saves lives and prevents costly damages to property and infrastructure (Ariyachandra & Wedawatta, 2023).

4.3. Ethical, Legal, and Social Considerations

Despite its transformative potential, deploying GeoAI in disaster management raises several ethical, legal, and social considerations that must be addressed to ensure its responsible use. One of the primary ethical concerns is data privacy. Many GeoAI systems integrate satellite data with ground-based information, including population demographics and infrastructure details. If mishandled, this data could compromise individual privacy or be misused for discriminatory practices. Ensuring robust data governance frameworks and compliance with privacy regulations is essential to mitigate these risks.

Another ethical issue is the potential for algorithmic bias in GeoAI systems. If training data are incomplete or unrepresentative, AI models may produce biased predictions that disproportionately affect certain communities. For instance, underrepresented areas in historical disaster data may receive less accurate forecasts, leaving them inadequately prepared for hazards. Addressing these biases requires diversifying data sources, validating models across contexts, and incorporating local knowledge into disaster risk assessments (Ferrara, 2023).

On the legal front, questions about accountability and liability arise when GeoAI predictions fail or lead to unintended consequences. For instance, if a false-positive flood warning prompts unnecessary evacuations, who should bear the responsibility—the AI developer, the data provider, or the authority issuing the warning? Establishing clear accountability frameworks and standards for AI performance is crucial to addressing these challenges (Huq, 2018).

Social considerations also play a pivotal role in the acceptance and effectiveness of GeoAI technologies. Communities may hesitate to trust AI-driven predictions, particularly if they are not transparent or comprehensible. Promoting transparency in GeoAI models and ensuring clear communication of predictions are vital to building stakeholder trust. Additionally, GeoAI systems must be designed to address the needs of marginalized populations, who often face the greatest risks during disasters. Ensuring inclusivity in disaster management strategies can enhance equity and reduce social vulnerabilities (Ayo-Farai, Olaide, Maduka, & Okongwu, 2023). Finally, deploying GeoAI in disaster management must balance innovation with environmental sustainability. The high computational demands of GeoAI systems contribute to energy consumption, raising concerns about their carbon footprint. Developing energy-efficient algorithms and utilizing renewable energy sources for data processing can mitigate these environmental impacts (Vujanović, Wang, Mohsen, Duić, & Yan, 2021).

5.   Conclusion and Recommendations

Integrating geospatial AI and data analytics into disaster prediction and risk assessment represents a transformative advancement in disaster management. GeoAI leverages cutting-edge technologies, including machine learning, deep learning, and Geographic Information Systems (GIS), to process and analyze vast amounts of satellite-derived and ancillary data. These technologies enable early detection, predictive modeling, and real-time monitoring of disasters, thereby improving the accuracy and timeliness of decision-making. One of the most significant insights is the capability of GeoAI to address diverse disaster scenarios, such as floods, wildfires, earthquakes, and hurricanes. By analyzing data from various sources—optical and radar satellite imagery, thermal readings, and ground-based sensors—GeoAI tools deliver comprehensive and actionable insights. The integration of these diverse data types enhances our ability to identify patterns, predict outcomes, and mitigate risks effectively.

The applications of GeoAI extend beyond immediate disaster response to long-term resilience planning. Risk assessments derived from geospatial data inform urban development, infrastructure design, and resource management, ensuring communities are better equipped to withstand future hazards. Moreover, GeoAI fosters global collaboration, as data-sharing platforms and standardized approaches unite international stakeholders in addressing shared challenges. Despite its potential, GeoAI faces challenges, including managing large and complex datasets, addressing algorithmic biases, and navigating ethical, legal, and social considerations. These challenges underline the need for continuous improvement in GeoAI methodologies and frameworks to maximize its effectiveness and equity in disaster management.

Several key advancements are essential to fully harness the capabilities of Geospatial AI and data analytics for disaster prediction and risk assessment. Improving data accessibility and integration should be a top priority. Open data policies and international collaboration can provide widespread access to high-quality geospatial datasets, enhancing the quality and accuracy of disaster analysis. Adopting standardized data formats and interoperable systems will enable seamless integration of diverse data sources, including satellite imagery, aerial surveys, and ground-based sensors. Collaborative cloud-based platforms can further support real-time data sharing and analysis, particularly during emergencies, ensuring stakeholders can access the most up-to-date information.

Developing advanced algorithms tailored for geospatial data is critical to overcoming its inherent challenges, such as spatial and temporal dependencies. Enhancements in machine learning and deep learning, including techniques like transfer learning and attention-based mechanisms, can significantly improve model accuracy and robustness. These algorithms must also address data imbalances and noise to ensure reliable predictions in varied disaster scenarios. Ethical considerations must underpin these technological advancements by ensuring data privacy, mitigating biases, and fostering transparency. GeoAI systems can become more inclusive and culturally sensitive by engaging local communities and valuing indigenous knowledge. Furthermore, energy-efficient algorithms and the adoption of emerging technologies such as edge computing and quantum computing are crucial to reducing computational demands and environmental impacts, enabling scalable and sustainable disaster monitoring solutions.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1]   Abid, S. K., Sulaiman, N., Chan, S. W., Nazir, U., Abid, M., Han, H., . . . Vega-Muñoz, A. (2021). Toward an integrated disaster management approach: how artificial intelligence can boost disaster management. Sustainability, 13(22), 12560.

[2]   Ariyachandra, M. M. F., & Wedawatta, G. (2023). Digital twin smart cities for disaster risk management: a review of evolving concepts. Sustainability, 15(15), 11910.

[3]   Armstrong, M. P., Wang, S., & Zhang, Z. (2019). The Internet of Things and fast data streams: prospects for geospatial data science in emerging information ecosystems. Cartography and Geographic Information Science, 46(1), 39-56.

[4]   Ayo-Farai, O., Olaide, B. A., Maduka, C. P., & Okongwu, C. C. (2023). Engineering innovations in healthcare: a review of developments in the USA. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 4(6), 381-400.

[5]   Chaudhary, M. T., & Piracha, A. (2021). Natural disasters—origins, impacts, management. Encyclopedia, 1(4), 1101-1131.

[6]   Chen, X., Zhang, X., Xie, H., Tao, X., Wang, F. L., Xie, N., & Hao, T. (2021). A bibliometric and visual analysis of artificial intelligence technologies-enhanced brain MRI research. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 80, 17335-17363.

[7]   Chuvieco, E. (2020). Fundamentals of satellite remote sensing: An environmental approach: CRC press.

[8]   Contreras, D., Wilkinson, S., & James, P. (2021). Earthquake reconnaissance data sources, a literature review. Earth, 2(4), 1006-1037.

[9]   Coppola, D., Laiolo, M., Cigolini, C., Massimetti, F., Delle Donne, D., Ripepe, M., . . . Centeno, R. G. (2020). Thermal remote sensing for global volcano monitoring: experiences from the MIROVA system. Frontiers in Earth Science, 7, 362.

[10]   Döllner, J. (2020). Geospatial artificial intelligence: potentials of machine learning for 3D point clouds and geospatial digital twins. PFG–Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Geoinformation Science, 88(1), 15-24.

[11]   El Khaled, Z., & Mcheick, H. (2019). Case studies of communications systems during harsh environments: A review of approaches, weaknesses, and limitations to improve quality of service. International journal of distributed sensor networks, 15(2), 1550147719829960.

[12]   Elliott, J. (2020). Earth observation for the assessment of earthquake hazard, risk and disaster management. Surveys in geophysics, 41(6), 1323-1354.

[13]   Ferrara, E. (2023). Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: A brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. Sci, 6(1), 3.

[14]   Ghaffarian, S., Taghikhah, F. R., & Maier, H. R. (2023). Explainable artificial intelligence in disaster risk management: Achievements and prospective futures. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 98, 104123.

[15]   Goel, A., Goel, A. K., & Kumar, A. (2023). The role of artificial neural network and machine learning in utilizing spatial information. Spatial Information Research, 31(3), 275-285.

[16]   Gonzales-Inca, C., Calle, M., Croghan, D., Torabi Haghighi, A., Marttila, H., Silander, J., & Alho, P. (2022). Geospatial artificial intelligence (GeoAI) in the integrated hydrological and fluvial systems modeling: Review of current applications and trends. Water, 14(14), 2211.

[17]   Gupta, M., Almomani, O., Khasawneh, A. M., & Darabkh, K. A. (2022). Smart remote sensing network for early warning of disaster risks. In Nanotechnology-Based Smart Remote Sensing Networks for Disaster Prevention (pp. 303-324): Elsevier.

[18]   Hanadé Houmma, I., El Mansouri, L., Gadal, S., Garba, M., & Hadria, R. (2022). Modelling agricultural drought: a review of latest advances in big data technologies. Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk, 13(1), 2737-2776.

[19]   Huq, A. Z. (2018). Racial equity in algorithmic criminal justice. Duke LJ, 68, 1043.

[20]   Kanwal, R., Rafaqat, W., Iqbal, M., & Weiguo, S. (2023). Data-Driven Approaches for Wildfire Mapping and Prediction Assessment Using a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). Remote Sensing, 15(21), 5099.

[21]   Khan, A., Gupta, S., & Gupta, S. K. (2020). Multi-hazard disaster studies: Monitoring, detection, recovery, and management, based on emerging technologies and optimal techniques. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 47, 101642.

[22]   Khan, S. M., Shafi, I., Butt, W. H., Diez, I. d. l. T., Flores, M. A. L., Galán, J. C., & Ashraf, I. (2023). A systematic review of disaster management systems: approaches, challenges, and future directions. Land, 12(8), 1514.

[23]   Kogan, F., Guo, W., & Yang, W. (2019). Drought and food security prediction from NOAA new generation of operational satellites. Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk.

[24]   Li, W., & Hsu, C.-Y. (2022). GeoAI for large-scale image analysis and machine vision: recent progress of artificial intelligence in geography. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 11(7), 385.

[25] Li, Y., Zhao, G., Shah, D., Zhao, M., Sarkar, S., Devadiga, S., . . . Gao, H. (2021). Nasa's modis/viirs global water reservoir product suite from moderate resolution remote sensing data. Remote Sensing, 13(4), 565.

[26] Mukonza, S. S., & Chiang, J.-L. (2023). Meta-Analysis of Satellite Observations for United Nations Sustainable Development Goals: Exploring the Potential of Machine Learning for Water Quality Monitoring. Environments, 10(10), 170.

[27] Mullachery, B., & Alismail, S. (2022). A smart healthcare framework: opportunities for integrating emerging technologies (5G, IoT, AI, and GIS). Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference.

[28] Munawar, H. S., Mojtahedi, M., Hammad, A. W., Kouzani, A., & Mahmud, M. P. (2022). Disruptive technologies as a solution for disaster risk management: A review. Science of the total environment, 806, 151351.

[29] Munawar, H. S., Qayyum, S., Ullah, F., & Sepasgozar, S. (2020). Big data and its applications in smart real estate and the disaster management life cycle: A systematic analysis. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 4(2), 4.

[30] Parkinson, C. L. (2022). The Earth-Observing Aqua Satellite Mission: 20 Years and Counting. Earth and Space Science, 9(9), e2022EA002481.

[31] Rezvani, S. M., Falcão, M. J., Komljenovic, D., & de Almeida, N. M. (2023). A systematic literature review on urban resilience enabled with asset and disaster risk management approaches and GIS-based decision support tools. Applied Sciences, 13(4), 2223.

[32] Rieke, M., Bigagli, L., Herle, S., Jirka, S., Kotsev, A., Liebig, T., . . . Stasch, C. (2018). Geospatial IoT—The need for event-driven architectures in contemporary spatial data infrastructures. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 7(10), 385.

[33] Šakić Trogrlić, R., van den Homberg, M., Budimir, M., McQuistan, C., Sneddon, A., & Golding, B. (2022). Early warning systems and their role in disaster risk reduction. In Towards the "perfect" weather warning: bridging disciplinary gaps through partnership and communication (pp. 11-46): Springer International Publishing Cham.

[34] Schumann, G. J., Brakenridge, G. R., Kettner, A. J., Kashif, R., & Niebuhr, E. (2018). Assisting flood disaster response with earth observation data and products: A critical assessment. Remote Sensing, 10(8), 1230.

[35] Segal-Rozenhaimer, M., Li, A., Das, K., & Chirayath, V. (2020). Cloud detection algorithm for multi-modal satellite imagery using convolutional neural-networks (CNN). Remote Sensing of Environment, 237, 111446.

[36] Shafapourtehrany, M., Batur, M., Shabani, F., Pradhan, B., Kalantar, B., & Özener, H. (2023). A comprehensive review of geospatial technology applications in earthquake preparedness, emergency management, and damage assessment. Remote Sensing, 15(7), 1939.

[37] Sufri, S., Dwirahmadi, F., Phung, D., & Rutherford, S. (2020). A systematic review of community engagement (CE) in disaster early warning systems (EWSs). Progress in Disaster Science, 5, 100058.

[38] Sun, Z., Sandoval, L., Crystal-Ornelas, R., Mousavi, S. M., Wang, J., Lin, C., . . . Ma, X. (2022). A review of earth artificial intelligence. Computers & Geosciences, 159, 105034.

[39] Vujanović, M., Wang, Q., Mohsen, M., Duić, N., & Yan, J. (2021). Recent progress in sustainable energy-efficient technologies and environmental impacts on energy systems. In (Vol. 283, pp. 116280): Elsevier.

[40] Wulder, M. A., Roy, D. P., Radeloff, V. C., Loveland, T. R., Anderson, M. C., Johnson, D. M., . . . Pahlevan, N. (2022). Fifty years of Landsat science and impacts. Remote Sensing of Environment, 280, 113195.

[41] Yu, M., Yang, C., & Li, Y. (2018). Big data in natural disaster management: a review. Geosciences, 8(5), 165.

[42] Zou, L., Mostafavi, A., Zhou, B., Lin, B., Mandal, D., Yang, M., . . . Cai, H. (2023). GeoAI for Disaster Response. In Handbook of Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (pp. 287-304): CRC Press.